

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1216 of 2022

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17504 of 2021

-
-
1. Surendra Prasad Singh Son of Late Rudradeo Singh, resident of Village - Kewali, P.S.- Kauakol, District - Nawadah.
 2. Krishnandan Prasad Singh, Son of Late Ramashray Singh, resident of Village- Chandi Nauma, P.S.- Kashichak, District - Nawadah.
 3. Nawal Kishore Prasad, Son of Late Nandkeshwar Singh, resident of Village - Darweshpura, P.S. - Katri Sarai, District - Nawadah.
 4. Arun Kumar Jayaswal, Son of Late Lakshmi Prasad Jayaswal, resident of Village - Bhusaki, P.S.- Khusrupur, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Mr. Amir Subhani aged about 59 years Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Main Secretariat, Patna.
2. Dr. S. Sidhartha, Male, aged about 57 years, Principal Secretary, Finance Department, Government of Bihar, Main Secretariat, Patna.
3. Shri Prem Singh Meena, Male, aged about 55 years, Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Main Secretariat, Patna.
4. Shri Alok Kumar, Male, aged about 42 years Director, Integrated Child Development Services (ICDS for short) Indira Bhawan, Ram Charitra Singh Path, Boring Canal Road, Patna.
5. Smt. Udit Singh, Female, aged about 42 years, District Magistrate, Nawadah.
6. Kumari Rita Sinha, Female, aged about 50 years, District Programme Officer, Nawadah.
7. Smt. Anita Kumari, Female, aged about 36 years Child Development Project Officer (CDPO for short) Pakribarawan, District - Nawadah.
8. Smt. Anita Kumari, female, aged about 36 years Child Development Project Officer (CDPO for short) In-charge, Kashichak, District - Nawadah.
9. Manju Kumari, female, aged about 36 years Child Development Project Officer (CDPO for short) Nadirganj, Nawadah.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Braj Kishore Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sunil Kumar Mandal (Sc3)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
ORAL ORDER

5 19-10-2022 Scope of contempt is limited to the extent that



whatever direction has been given is complied or not? Contempt petition is filed for non-compliance of the order dated 25.01.2022 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 17504 of 2021. After disposal of the aforesaid petition on 25.01.2022, petitioner submitted representation on 23.02.2022. Respondents have complied the order while passing order at Annexure-D. In this backdrop, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is non-consideration of petitioner's subsequent representation dated 23.02.2022. In non-consideration of later representation dated 23.02.2022 which is after disposal of C.W.J.C. No. 17504 of 2021 decided on 25.01.2022 does not amount to contempt. Therefore, the contempt petition stands rejected reserving liberty to the petitioners to question the validity of Annexure-D to the show cause in accordance with law.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

rakhi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

