

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10177 of 2022

=====

Kamleshwar Ram Son of Late Ram Tapeswar Ram Resident of Flat No. 604
Prem Kunj Apartment, Near Bank of Barod, Kurji, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Human Resource Development, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director of Higher Education, Department Human Resource Development, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Magadh University, Bodhgaya, Gaya, through its Registrar.
5. The Vice-Chancellor, Magadh University, Bodhgaya, Gaya.
6. The Hon'ble Chancellor of the Universities of Bihar Raj Bhawan, Patna through the O. S. D.
7. The S.P., Department of Vigilance, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhay Kumar Thakur, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Smt. Shilpa Singh (GA 12)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted by Hon'ble the Chief Justice/ Hon'ble Judges through Video Conferencing from their residential offices/residences. Also, the Advocates and the Staffs joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residences/offices.)

2 22-07-2022 Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

“I. For issuance of
appropriate writ/s, order/s, direction/s



commanding the respondents particularly the Respondent no. 7 for conducting enquiry for the corrupt practice adopted by officers and officials for money making and for taking appropriate action for such conduct in the interest of public for the betterment of educational atmosphere.

II. For direction upon the Respondent no. 2 & 3 for taking appropriate actions for stopping corruption, from admission to examination in professional courses in respondent university.

III. For any other relief/reliefs which deem necessary to be passed in the Interest of the Public at Large.”

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, we are of the considered view that the petition only merits rejection for we find that the petitioner’s request was considered and petitioner communicated vide communication dated 08.01.2022. Para-6 and 7 of the said communication (page-36) appears to justify the action taken by the respondent. The shortage of attendance on account of unavoidable circumstances was marked by holding of special classes before the final examination.



Petition stands dismissed.

Interlocutory Application(s), if any, shall stand
disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

K.C.Jha/-DKS

U			
---	--	--	--

