

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39213 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-467 Year-2020 Thana- RAHUI District- Nalanda

=====

DIPAK RAM SON OF ARVIND RAM R/O VILLAGE- GOVINDPUR,
NASINGPUR, P.S.- RAHUI, DISTRICT- NALANDA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pramod Kumar Sinha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Yogendra Kumar Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 15-11-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in a case registered
for the offence punishable under Section 304B/34 of the Indian
Penal Code.

As per the prosecution case, this petitioner along with
other accused persons is said to have killed the victim due to
non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits
that petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
case. There is no eye witness of the occurrence and petitioner
never demanded any dowry or tortured the deceased. It is
further submitted that matter between the parties has been
compromised and a joint compromise petition has also been
filed before the court below stating therein that the dispute



between the parties has been resolved outside the court.
Petitioner claims clean antecedent.

Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that petitioner is husband of the deceased and deceased died within seven years of marriage in her matrimonial house under unnatural circumstances and there is allegation of demand of dowry.

Considering the nature of accusation and gravity of the offence, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the same is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

BKS/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

