

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10159 of 2022

=====

Ram Chandra Jha, Son of Late Jay Nath Jha, Resident of Village- Sarso (Sie)
Sarisab, P.S. Sarisab - Pahi, District - Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Higher Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Kameshwar Singh Darbhanga Sanskrit University through its Registrar, Kameshwar Nagar, Darbhanga.
3. The Vice-Chancellor, Kameshwar Singh Darbhanga Sanskrit University, Kameshwar Nagar, Darbhanga.
4. The Registrar, Kameshwar Singh Darbhanga Sanskrit University, Kameshwar Nagar, Darbhanga (hereinafter referred to as K.S.D.S.U.) Darbhanga.
5. The Finance Officer, Kameshwar Singh Darbhanga Sanskrit University, Kameshwar Nagar, Darbhanga.
6. The Principal, Laxmiwati Sanskrit College, Sarisab -Pahi District - Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Kripa Nand Jha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Kamal Nayan, AC to SC- 28
For the University	:	Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 29-11-2022

Heard Mr. Kripa Nand Jha, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Kamal Nayan, AC to SC-28, for the State and Mr. Deepak Kumar, learned counsel for the Kameshwar Singh Darbhanga Sanskrit University, Kameshwar Nagar, Darbhanga (hereinafter referred to as 'the KSDS University').

2. In compliance of the order of this Court, a supplementary counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondent no.1. The same is taken on record.



3. By referring to the statements made in the supplementary counter affidavit, it is submitted that after verification of the pay point, all the necessary payments towards the retiral dues of the petitioner have stood paid and, as such, the grievance of the petitioner stands redressed.

4. Learned counsel for the University also reiterating the statements made in the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent University submits that the entire amount payable to the petitioner stood paid and nothing remains due to the petitioner.

5. At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that so far the grievance of the petitioner with regard to the discrepancies in the adjustment of CPF and his due date of retirement, from 60 to 62 years, are concerned, the same has not been answered.

6. In view of the submissions made on behalf of the learned counsel for the parties, the present writ application stands disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file a detailed representation before respondent no.3 within a period of four weeks.

7. If such representation is filed along with a copy of this order and the necessary documents/judgments in support of his claim, the respondent no.3 shall consider the same and pass a



reasoned and speaking order, preferably within a further period of eight weeks.

8. Accordingly, the present writ application stands disposed of.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	01.12.2022
Transmission Date	NA

