

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10077 of 2022

=====

Gunja Kumari Daughter of Late Kameshwar Lal Das Resident of Village-
Nirmali, Ward No. 8, P.O. Nirmali, P.S.- Nirmali, District- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Human Resources Development Department, New Delhi.
2. The Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of India, New Delhi.
3. The National Institute of Open Schooling, A-24/25, Institutional Area, Sector-62, Noida, District- Gautam Budh Nagar (U.P.)- 201309 through its Director (Evaluation)
4. The Chairman, National Institute of Open Schooling, A-24/25, Institutional Area, Sector-62, Noida, District- Gautam Budh Nagar (U.P.)- 201309.
5. The Director (Evaluation), National Institute of Open Schooling, A-24/25, Institutional Area, Sector-62, Noida, District- Gautam Budh Nagar (U.P.)- 201309.
6. The Regional Director, National Institute of Open Schooling, Regional Centre, Lalit Bhawan, Ground Floor, Baily Road, Patna- 800001.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shailesh Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Awadhesh Kr. Pandey, Sr. Adv.
		Mr. Ravinder Kumar Sharma, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

ORAL ORDER

3 22-12-2022 1. The petitioner by way of this writ petition has
prayed as under:-

“1. That this is an application under writ jurisdiction of the Hon’ble Court for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction for the following reliefs:-

(i) For issuance of a writ of mandamus for direction to the respondents specially respondent no. 3 to 5 to correct the mother’s name of the petitioner in the Identity Card cum-Registration, Marks Statement and Certificate of Diploma in Elementary Education (D.El.Ed.) Examination issued by National Institute of Open Schooling in which



mother's name of the petitioner has wrongly been mentioned as Urmila Devi instead of Pramila Das as same could not been corrected despite several applications to the respondent authorities.

(ii) For issuance of a writ of mandamus for commanding the respondent no. 3 to 5 to correct the mother's name of the petitioner in the Identity Card cum-Registration, Marks Statement and Certificate of Diploma in Elementary Education (D.El.Ed.) Examination who could not be corrected despite several representations to the respondents authorities as a result of which the petitioner could not submit her certificates of D.El.Ed. (Diploma in Elementary Education) Examination before the Selection Committee of the Employment Unit.

(iii) For issuance of any other relief/reliefs which may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case and for which the petitioner may entitle."

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the name of the mother has wrongly been mentioned in her certificates issued by the Respondent no. 3 and 4.

3. In Jigya Yadav Vs. Central Board of Secondary Education & Ors., the Apex Court has held as under:-

"192. Although we have discussed the broad issues canvassed before us, in the ultimate analysis the real dispute requiring resolution is about the nature of correction or change, as the case may be, permissible to be carried by the CBSE at the instance of the student including past student. As noted earlier, broadly, two situations would arise.

193. The first is where the incumbent wants "correction" in the certificate issued by the CBSE to be made consistent with the particulars mentioned in the school records.

193.1. As we have held, there is no reason for the CBSE to turn down such request or attach any precondition except reasonable period of limitation and keeping in mind the period for which the CBSE has to maintain its record under the extant regulations. While



doing so, it can certainly insist for compliance of other conditions by the incumbent, such as, to file sworn affidavit making necessary declaration and to indemnify the CBSE from any claim against it by third party because of such correction. The CBSE would be justified in insisting for surrender/return of the original certificate (or duplicate original certificate, as the case may be) issued by it for replacing it with the fresh certificate to be issued after carrying out necessary corrections with caption/annotation against the changes carried out and the date of such correction. It may retain the original entries as it is except in respect of correction of name effected in exercise of right to be forgotten. The fresh certificate may also contain disclaimer that the CBSE cannot be held responsible for the genuineness of the school records produced by the incumbent in support of the request to record correction in the original CBSE certificate. The CBSE can also insist for reasonable prescribed fees to be paid by the incumbent in lieu of administrative expenses for issuing fresh certificate.

193.2. At the same time, the CBSE cannot impose precondition of applying for correction consistent with the school records only before publication of results. Such a condition, as we have held, would be unreasonable and excessive. We repeat that if the application for recording correction is based on the school records as it obtained at the time of publication of results and issue of certificate by the CBSE, it will be open to CBSE to provide for reasonable limitation period within which the application for recording correction in certificate issued by it may be entertained by it. However, if the request for recording change is based on changed school records post the publication of results and issue of certificate by the CBSE, the candidate would be entitled to apply for recording such a change within the reasonable limitation period prescribed by the CBSE. In this situation, the candidate cannot claim that she had no knowledge about the change recorded in the school records because such a change would occur obviously at her instance. If she makes such application for correction of the school records, she is expected to apply to the CBSE immediately after the school records are modified and which ought to be done within a reasonable time.

193.3. Indeed, it would be open to the CBSE to reject the application in the event the period for preservation of official records under the extant regulations



had expired and no record of the candidate concerned is traceable or can be reconstructed. In the case of subsequent amendment of school records, that may occur due to different reasons including because of choice exercised by the candidate regarding change of name. To put it differently, request for recording of correction in the certificate issued by the CBSE to bring it in line with the school records of the incumbent need not be limited to application made prior to publication of examination results of the CBSE.

194. As regards request for “change” of particulars in the certificate issued by the CBSE, it presupposes that the particulars intended to be recorded in the CBSE certificate are not consistent with the school records. Such a request could be made in two different situations. The first is on the basis of public documents like birth certificate, Aadhaar card, election card, etc. and to incorporate change in the CBSE certificate consistent therewith. The second possibility is when the request for change is due to the acquired name by choice at a later point of time. That change need not be backed by public documents pertaining to the candidate.

194.1. Reverting to the first category, as noted earlier, there is a legal presumption in relation to the public documents as envisaged in the 1872 Act. Such public documents, therefore, cannot be ignored by the CBSE. Taking note of those documents, the CBSE may entertain the request for recording change in the certificate issued by it. This, however, need not be unconditional, but subject to certain reasonable conditions to be fulfilled by the applicant as may be prescribed by the CBSE, such as, of furnishing sworn affidavit containing declaration and to indemnify the CBSE and upon payment of prescribed fees in lieu of administrative expenses. The CBSE may also insist for issuing public notice and publication in the Official Gazette before recording the change in the fresh certificate to be issued by it upon surrender/return of the original certificate (or duplicate original certificate, as the case may be) by the applicant. The fresh certificate may contain disclaimer and caption/annotation against the original entry (except in respect of change of name effected in exercise of right to be forgotten) indicating the date on which change has been recorded and the basis thereof. In other words, the fresh certificate may retain original particulars while recording the change along with



caption/annotation referred to above (except in respect of change of name effected in exercise of right to be forgotten).

194.2. However, in the latter situation where the change is to be effected on the basis of new acquired name without any supporting school record or public document, that request may be entertained upon insisting for prior permission/declaration by a court of law in that regard and publication in the Official Gazette including surrender/return of original certificate (or duplicate original certificate, as the case may be) issued by CBSE and upon payment of prescribed fees. The fresh certificate as in other situations referred to above, retain the original entry (except in respect of change of name effected in exercise of right to be forgotten) and to insert caption/annotation indicating the date on which it has been recorded and other details including disclaimer of CBSE. This is so because the CBSE is not required to adjudicate nor has the mechanism to verify the correctness of the claim of the applicant.

195. In light of the above, in exercise of our plenary jurisdiction, we direct the CBSE to process the applications for correction or change, as the case may be, in the certificate issued by it in the respective cases under consideration. Even other pending applications and future applications for such request be processed on the same lines and in particular the conclusion and directions recorded hitherto in paras 193 and 194, as may be applicable, until amendment of relevant bye-laws. Additionally, the CBSE shall take immediate steps to amend its relevant bye-laws so as to incorporate the stated mechanism for recording correction or change, as the case may be, in the certificates already issued or to be issued by it.”

4. From the above judgment, it is apparent that the Respondents are bound to examine the application for correction of the certificates issued on merits. Keeping in view the observations made above by the Supreme Court, same directions are issued to the Respondent in the present case. The exercise shall be conducted within two months henceforth and



the corrected documents as prayed for shall be released accordingly.

5. The writ petition stands allowed to the aforesaid extent.

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

Sachin/-
Item No. 21

U			
---	--	--	--

