

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38548 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-81 Year-2022 Thana- KHODAWANDPUR District- Begusarai

Ranjeet Thakur S/o Late Dhruv Thakur R/o village- Pateliya, P.S.- Vibhutipur,
District- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Parijat Saurav, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Akshay Lal Pandit, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 01-11-2022 Let the defect(s), if any, be removed within two weeks
from today.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Khodawandpur P.S. Case No. 81 of 2022 lodged under Sections
395, 397, 307, 326 of the I.P.C. read with Section 27 of Arms
Act.

As per the prosecution case, informant has disclosed
before the police that he was working in a jewellery shop. On
the date of occurrence, he alongwith his brother returning from
the shop closing it then 7 criminals on 3 motorcycles chased and
stopped them and fired on the brother of the informant and
looted Rs. 2.5 lacs, jewellery of gold about 100 gm, silver about

500 gm, the key of shop and mortgage register from the bag alongwith motorcycle. Subsequently, the informant has called the relatives who reached there and the brother was admitted to the local hospital at Begusarai who was subsequently referred to Patna.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He submits that the criminal case has been filed against 7 unknown persons. He further submits that in the case diary, the name of the present petitioner has come by virtue of confessional statement of co-accused, namely, Kundan Kumar who has been apprehended by the police and on his confession the alleged looted ornaments has been recovered which was sold in the shop of the petitioner

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is innocent and in custody in this case since 19.04.2022 and his antecedent is clean.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

Learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that there is complete involvement of the present petitioner in this case. He submits that the Additional Sessions Judge Begusarai has categorically

mentioned in the rejection order about the involvement of the petitioner in this case. The looted ornaments has been recovered which the petitioner sold to another person.

In the present facts and circumstances of this case and the submissions made above, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at present but petitioner may renew his prayer for bail only after 5 months of framing of charge and Trial Court is directed to release the petitioner on bail imposing its own conditions so that he may not evade his appearance during trial.

With this observation, the bail application stands rejected.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

sadique/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--