

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11984 of 2019

=====

Lalit Narayan Chaudhary Son of Vishwa Kant Choudhary Gram Panchayat-
Mahishi North, P.S.- Mahishi, District- Saharsa.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Bihar, Patna.
2. Principal Secretary Food and Civil Supply and Consumer Protection
Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. That Additional Secretary Food and Civil Supply and Consumer Protection
Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Director Food Civil Supply and Consumer Protection Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. District Magistrate Cum Collector Saharsa.
6. Superintendent of Police Saharsa.
7. Additional Collector Saharsa.
8. District Supply Officer Saharsa.
9. District Co- Operative Officer Saharsa.
10. Sub- Divisional Officer Sadar, Saharsa.
11. Sub Divisional Officer Simri Bakhtiyarpur, Saharsa.
12. Circle Officer Anchal Mahishi, District- Saharsa.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Anshuman Singh, Advocate Mr. Madhav Jha
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. S. Raza Ahmad (Aag5)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR)

Date : 02-12-2022

Heard Mr. Anshuman Singh, learned Advocate for

the petitioner and the State.



On the death of the father of the petitioner who was a licensee, the petitioner had applied for grant of license on compassionate ground, which application was recommended as well. However, the District Selection Committee, on finding certain anomalies in the inquiry report with respect to the date of birth and some other aspects, refused to consider the case of the petitioner for the needful.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the confusion has arisen in the mind of the District Selection Committee only for the reason of there are two records; one of his and the other of his twin brother, as a result of which the petitioner's application has not been considered.

In view of the afore-noted submission, we deem it appropriate to direct the petitioner to make a suitable complaint before the Commissioner of the Division within a period of thirty days, who after affording reasonable



opportunity to him, shall dispose of such complaint within a period of sixty days thereafter with a reasoned order.

With the afore-noted direction/observation, the petition stands disposed of.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

krishna/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	07.12.2022
Transmission Date	NA

