

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47754 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-33 Year-2021 Thana- SUPAUL District- Supaul

PARMUKH PASWAN S/o Dayanand Paswan Resident of Village- Behrari,
Ward No.2, P.S.- Ghoghardiha, District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Bharti

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. B.N. Pandey

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 22-03-2022 Heard Mr. Jitendra Kumar Bharti, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr. B.N. Pandey, learned APP for the State.

Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Supaul PS Case No. 33 of 2021 registered for the offence under
Section 395 of the IPC and Section 3 / 4 of the Explosive
Substances Act.

The allegation as per the first information report is
that 5-6 unknown criminals entered into the house of the
informant and committed dacoity on gun point and looted a
sum of Rs. 100000/- in cash, 200 grams of gold ornaments , 5
Kg silver ornaments etc.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name has transpired
on the basis confessional statement made by the co-accused /



Badal Paswan. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner has not been identified in T.I. Parade.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State submits that recovery of looted articles has been made from the house of the petitioner and petitioner has given his self inculpatory confessional statement regarding his involvement in dacoity, as such, he does not deserve the privilege of bail.

Regard being had to the submissions made by the parties, taking into consideration the fact that looted articles have been recovered from the house of the petitioner, as such, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail is rejected.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

praful/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

