

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16785 of 2018

=====

Surendra Kumar Mandal Son of Kamal Narayan Mandal, Resident of Village-Manik Chand Chakala, P.S.-Raghopur, District-Supaul, at Present Posted as C.R.C. Middle School, Radha Nagar, Supaul, District-Suoaul, Pin-852111.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director, Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chairman, Bihar Education Project Council, Siksha Bhawan, Rastrabhasha Parisad, Rajendra Nagar, Patna-800014
4. The District Magistrate, Supaul.
5. The District Education Officer, Supaul.
6. The D.P.O. (S.S.A.), Supaul.
7. The Block Education Officer, Raghpur Block, District -Supaul.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anjani Kumar, AAG-4
Mr. Alok Kumar Rahi, AC to AAG-4
Mr. Girijish Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

6 12-07-2022 Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

1. That the petitioner has come before this Hon'ble High Court, Patna for challenge the validity of Block Resources Persons (B.R.P.) and ^{eludn}~~Culture~~ Resource co-ordinator (C.R.C.) for the selection of guideline 2017 issued by the Bihar Education Project Council, Siksha Bhawan, Rastrabhasha Parishad, Patna under the Education Department, Govt. of Bihar in General Qualification Part-II (2.2.1)



inclusive (Kh) (CH) for selection of B.R.P. and C.R.C. are against the principle of our constitution preamble and violation of the Article 14, 16. Those persons experts from his subject above from the applicant which is wrong, illegal and without jurisdiction in eye of law.

On 3rd of February, 2020, we had passed the following order:-

“Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the petitioner. However, in the interest of justice, matter is adjourned.

List on Wednesday i.e. 05.02.2020.”

On 5th of February, 2020 we had passed the following order:-

“Despite repeated calls, today none appears on behalf of the petitioner. However, in the interest of justice, matter is adjourned.

List this case on 06.04.2020.”

Even today, despite repeated calls, none has entered appearance on behalf of the petitioner.



As such, the petition stands dismissed for default.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

P.K.P./Amrendra

U			
---	--	--	--

