

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14624 of 2021**

=====

Lalan Singh @ Lalan Kumar Son of Shree Ram Singh Resident of Village-Kandaul, Police Station-Hulasganj, District-Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Mines and Minerals, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Mines and Minerals, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Mines and Minerals Cum Competent authority, Department of Mines and Minerals, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Superintendent of Police, District of Jehanabad
5. The District Mining Officer, Jehanabad.
6. The Competent Authority, District Mining Officer, Jehanabad
7. The Officer in Charge Cum investigating Officer, Police Station Hulasganj, District-Jehanabd.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                             |
|----------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr.Sanjay Kumar, Advocate   |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr.Gyan Prakash Ojha (GA-7) |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE**

**and**

**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR**

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR)**

(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted through Video Conferencing and the Advocates joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residence.)

**Date : 19-01-2022**

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s).

“ 1. That this is an application for issuance of writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ/order or direction for commanding and directing the respondent authorities to release the Tractor of the petitioner bearing Reg. No. BR-25A-7529, Engine no. BRTL00196, Chesis No. BRTL00196, Model MAHINDRA 266 DI BHOOMIPUTRA which has been seized by the Officer in charge of Hulasganj Police Station and kept in the open sky in the

campus of said police station and/or be pleased to pass such other order/orders as this Hon’ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances sated hereinafter”.

After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this petition with liberty to approach the appropriate/competent forum for redressal of his grievances as permissible in law.

This application is dismissed as withdrawn reserving liberty to the writ petitioner to take recourse to such remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

However, it is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and all issues of facts and law are left open.

**(Sanjay Karol, CJ)**

**( S. Kumar, J)**

Rajiv/veena-

|                   |  |
|-------------------|--|
| AFR/NAFR          |  |
| CAV DATE          |  |
| Uploading Date    |  |
| Transmission Date |  |