

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37250 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-452 Year-2019 Thana- DHANARUA District- Patna

=====

ARJUN CHAUDHARI SON OF SUKHLU CHAUDHARI R/O VILLAGE-
ATARPURA, P.S.- DHANARUA, DISTT.- PATNA

... .. Petitioner

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Umesh Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Meena Singh

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

2 20-07-2022 Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove all
the defects pointed out by the Stamp Reporter within one month.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned
APP for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with
Dhanarua P.S. Case No. 452 of 2019, registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 304B/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per allegation, the daughter of the informant was
married to Akash Chaudhari, the son of the petitioner, on 25.10.2019.
The accused persons including the petitioner used to torture and
assault the deceased Reena Kumari for non-fulfillment of dowry
demand of rupees two lac cash and a motorcycle. On 01.11.2019, the
informant received an information that the deceased, Reena Kumari,
committed suicide by strangulating herself. When he went to the



house of the petitioner, he came to know that the accused persons before the death of the deceased had inflicted torture upon her for non-fulfillment of dowry demand.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is father-in-law of the deceased having no concern with the alleged occurrence and he never demanded dowry.

There is specific allegation against the accused persons including the petitioner that they subjected the deceased to cruelty for non-fulfillment of demand of dowry. The deceased died within a period of one week from her marriage in her matrimonial house which shows that the circumstances were other than normal and there is allegation that soon before marriage she was tortured by accused persons including the present petitioner. As such, I do not think it to be a fit case for anticipatory bail. Accordingly, it is rejected.

Office shall ensure that all defects are removed by the petitioner within the stipulated time provided in para-1 hereinabove, failing which the matter shall be brought to the notice of this Court.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

SONALI/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

