

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36977 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-443 Year-2015 Thana- MADHUBANI TOWN District-  
Madhubani

=====

Bisheshwar Singh Son of Late Krishna Kumar Singh, R/o Village- Suryapura,  
P.S.- Ramgadh, District- Kaimur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Viveka Nand Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Gulnar Begum, APP.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN**

ORAL ORDER

2      21-09-2022                      Let the defect(s), if any, be removed within two weeks  
from today.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with  
Nagar P.S. Case No. 443 of 2015 (G.R. No. 2814 of 2015),  
lodged under Sections 467, 468, 471, 406, 420, 120(B)/34 of the  
Indian Penal Code read with Section 66(D) I.T. Act, 2008.

As per the prosecution case, the appointment of one  
Rahul Singh was made on the basis of forgery. The Executive  
Officer has conducted an inquiry, in which it has been disclosed  
by the said candidate namely Rahul Singh that, he has  
completed exam on the basis of Bluetooth and for his success  
the entire arrangement has been made by his father, who is the

present petitioner upon payment of Rs.3,50,000/- to a broker.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He further submits that son of petitioner was removed from the service upon disclosure of aforesaid illegality. He further submits that petitioner is an old aged person of about 63 years and is in custody since 28.05.2022, having clean antecedent and charge sheet has already been filed in this case.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the present petitioner is main conspirator behind this illegality, which was accepted by the son of petitioner himself.

In the present facts and circumstances of this case and the submissions made above, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner and, therefore, his bail application is hereby **rejected**.

Liberty is hereby granted to the petitioner that he may renew his prayer for bail after one year of framing of charge.

**(Dr. Anshuman, J.)**

ritik/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|