

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12132 of 2019**

=====

Bindhayachal Pandey, Son of Late Jagadamba Pandey Resident of Vilalge and  
P.O. - Belwaiyan, P.S.- Dinara, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary Road Construction Department, Govt. of Bihar, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Divisional Commissioner, Patna cum First Appellate Authority.
3. The District Magistrate, Rohtas, District- Rohtas.
4. The District of planning Officer, District Public Grievance redressal, Officer, Rohtas, District- Rohtas.
5. The District Public Grievance Redressal, Officer, Rohtas, District- Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : None  
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Manoj Kr. Ambastha (SC26)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE**  
**and**  
**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

**(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)**

3      06-12-2022      The petitioner has prayed for the following relief/s :-

“1) Issuance of a direction/ order/ writ in the nature of writ of Mandamus commanding and directing the respondents to pay dues amount of works done by the petitioner under the Scheme recommended by the member of parliament from the house of Bihar Sharan Singh via Ramesh Mahto to Shiv Mandir at the house of Kamla Pandey constructing P.C.C. road which total amount comes to Rs. 506200/- at the Village-Belbaiyan, Block-Dinara in the District- Rohtas the petitioner was made an agent to complete the work and the reasons best known to the respondent the said dues amount is being not paid.

II. Issuance of other direction(s) order(s) writ(s) to which the petitioner may be found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the case.”



On 29.11.2022, we had passed the following order :-

“Despite repeated calls, none has entered appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

However, in the interest of justice, matter is adjourned.

List this case on 06.12.2022, in the category of “Order Matters”.

Even today, despite repeated calls, none has entered appearance on behalf of the petitioner. However, learned counsel for the respondent/s is/are present.

As such, the present petition stands dismissed for default.

Interlocutory application, if any, stands disposed of.

**(Sanjay Karol, CJ)**

**( Partha Sarthy, J)**

Ashwini/DKS

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

