

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9659 of 2022

=====

Narendra Kumar S/o Kaushal Kishore Prasad Singh, Resident of Village and
P.O.- Imadpur, P.S.- Bhagwanpur, District- Vaishali, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd. Khadh Bhawan,
Daroga Rai Path, R Block, Road No.2, Patna-1, through its Managing
Director.
2. The Managing Director, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation
Ltd, Khaadh Bhawan, Daroga Rai Path, R Block, Road No. 2, Patna-1.
3. The Chief Manager (Finance), Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies
Corporation Ltd. Khaadh Bhawan, Daroga Rai Path, R Block, Road No.2,
Patna-1.
4. The Deputy General Manager-Transport, Bihar State Food and Civil
Supplies Corporation Ltd., Head Quarter, Patna.
5. The District Manager, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation,
Vaishali, Hajipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mrityunjay Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 04-08-2022

The petitioner has prayed for the following relief/s :-

- (1) For direction to the Respondents to immediately
make payment to the petitioner, total amount of
Rs.3,01,660.00 along with 18 % interest towards
the work of transportation of food grains under

Desri Lalganj & Vaishali Block from the godown of Bihar State Food Corporation to the PDS dealers done by him as Door Step Delivery (DSD) Agent of Bihar State Food Corporation, Vaishali.

- (II) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent no.4 to pay the rest amount of the work done by the petitioner immediately with penal interest @ 18%.
- (III) Further, for direction upon the Respondents to restrain authorities from making any deduction from bills in terms of the contract and to duly compensate for the physical and mental harassment suffered by the petitioner and also the cost of litigation thrust upon the petitioner.
- (IV) For any other appropriate relief/reliefs to which the petitioner is found entitled in the facts and circumstances of this case.

After the matter was heard for some time, finding the Court not to be in favour with the submissions made

across the Bar, learned counsel for the petitioner, more so in view of the disputed question of fact, fairly states that petitioner be permitted to take recourse to such equally, efficacious alternative remedies as are available in accordance with law.

Permission granted.

Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

We clarify that we have not adjudicated the dispute on merits. All questions of fact and law are left open to be agitated and adjudicated before the appropriate forum in appropriate proceedings.

Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

Interlocutory Application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

Sanjay/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	05.08.2022
Transmission Date	NA