

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4154 of 2018

1. Dasrath Rishi, Son of Late Janak Rishi.
2. Lalita Devi, Wife of Late Hero Ram.
3. Gulabi Devi, Wife of Late Ram Chandra Ram.
4. Sabdu Ram, Son of Baldeo Ram.
5. Manjula Devi, Wife of Kamleshwari Ram.
6. Mantu Ram, Son of Late Dhaneshwar Ram.
7. Sanjay Ram, Son of Late Rajendra Ram.
8. Lakshmi Ram, Son of Chedi Ram @ Anup Ram.
9. Rekha Devi, Wife of Lakshmi Ram.
10. Shakila Khatun, Daughter of Late Maimun Nisha.
11. Md. Alauudin, Son of Sakhawr.
12. Md. Suleman, Son of Late Hakim Mian.
13. Mostt. Satni Devi, Wife of Late Khochai Ram.
14. Ranjit Ram, Son of Late Kailu Ram.
All residents of Village- Nirpur Barah Tola, P.S.- Dhamdaha, District-
Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. Collector, Purnea.
3. Superintendent of Police, Purnea.
4. Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Dhamdaha.
5. Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Dhamdaha.
6. Sub-Divisional Officer, Dhamdaha, Purnea.
7. Anchal Adhikari, Dhamdaha, Purnea.
8. Thakur Rishi.
9. Bahadur Rishi. Both Sons of Late Jageshwar Rishi.
10. Chandeshwar Rishi, Son of Barhnu Rishi.
11. Bilat Rishi, Son of Late Bhannu Rishi.
12. Janglu Rishi, Son of Late Rupan Rishi.
13. Bablu Rishi, Son of Late Khantar Rishi.
14. Shambhu Mandal, Son of Shaket Mandal,
15. Wakil Rishi, Son of Shaket Mandal.
16. Awdhesh Mandal, Son of Manrup Mandal.
17. Gopal Mandal, Son of Late Kamal Mandal.
18. Shambhu Mandal, Son of Late Sarju Mandal.



19. Bhagwan Mandal, Son of Late Sarju Mandal.
All residents of Village & P.O. Bishanpur, P.S. Dhamdaha, District-Purnea.
... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kumar Uday Singh, Advocate
: Mr.Amit Kumar Anand, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.G.P. 4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

11 06-09-2022 In compliance of the earlier order of this Court dated

23.08.2022, a supplementary counter affidavit has been filed by

the District Magistrate, Purnea, wherein it has been stated that

the entire encroachment in question has now been removed and

the encroachers, in whose favour settlement was made, have

been shifted to the original plot, which was actually settled in

their favour.

The learned counsel for the petitioners is not in
disagreement with the facts stated in the supplementary counter
affidavit, hence, nothing survives for adjudication in the present
case.

Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed
off.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

rinkee/sonal-

U			
---	--	--	--

