

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46846 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-12 Year-2019 Thana- VIGILANCE District- Patna

MOTI LAL SINGH Son of Late Bachu Singh Resident of House No. - 3,
Kailash Enclave, Sheopuri, P.S. - Shastri Nagar, District - Patna, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar through Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Patna. Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Ajay Kumar Prasad, Adv. Mr. Binod Kumar sinha, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Arvind Kumar, APP
For the Vigilance	:	Mr. Rana Vikram Singh, Spl. P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

3 16-05-2022 Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove
all the defects pointed out by the Stamp Reporter within one month.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Mr.
Rana Vikram Singh, Learned Spl.P.P. for the Vigilance.

At the outset, learned Spl.P.P. for the Vigilance, Mr. Rana
Vikram Singh, has submitted that the anticipatory bail of the
petitioner was rejected vide order dated 09.07.2019 in Cr. Misc. No.
41891 of 2019, to which the learned counsel for the petitioner has
replied that his anticipatory bail petition was rejected and that has
been mentioned in paragraph No. 2 of the bail petition but the present
petition has been filed on changed circumstances that the petitioner is
under treatment and he is admitted in the hospital.

From perusal of the order dated 09.07.2019 in Cr. Misc.



No. 41891 of 2019, it appears that the anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner was rejected as he was caught red handed taking a bribe of Rs. 5,000/-. After two years of rejection of his anticipatory bail, the petitioner has filed the present anticipatory bail petition on medical ground.

I am unable to understand why this anticipatory bail petition has been filed after so prolonged duration after rejection of his previous anticipatory bail petition. I do not find any merit in the present petition, accordingly it is dismissed.

Office shall ensure that all defects are removed by the petitioner within the stipulated time provided in para-1 hereinabove, failing which the matter shall be brought to the notice of this Court.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

SONALI/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

