

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.35845 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-203 Year-2020 Thana- PARIHAR District- Sitamarhi

JITENDRA KUMAR @ JITENDRA PANJIYAR S/O SAMBHU PANJIYAR
Resident of Village- Sahasram, P.S.- Parihar, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uday Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Sumiran Rai, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 22-09-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 323, 379, 354, 380, 452, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has antecedent of one case which was lodged by the uncle of the present informant, it is next submitted that the informant initially had filed a complaint out of which the present FIR arises and thus the informant alleges that the accused persons, including the petitioner along with other accused and 2-3 unknown persons entered her house and tried to take her on the point of pistol and the petitioner started teasing her but on alarm as well as on protest of her mother and other,



petitioner fled after teasing them as well and also damaged household articles worth Rs. 15,000/- and snatched golden chain from the purse of her father, it is next submitted that a panchayati was done on 27.11.2020 but the accused persons were not willing to listen to the panchayati and they also made her vulgar video viral.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case, it is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the same does not inspire confidence as it absolutely does not stand to reason that why the accused persons in such large number would have come and then teased the informant on the point of gun.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that there is a specific allegation against the accused persons including the petitioners of making a vulgar video viral, it is next submitted that the petitioner in his anticipatory bail application has not denied the said allegation which amply demonstrates that some video was made viral.

Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of



anticipatory bail to the petitioner, however if the petitioner surrenders in the learned trial court on or before 19.10.2022, the learned trial court shall dispose of the bail application of the petitioner on the same day keeping in mind that the present FIR arises out of a complaint and the allegation *prima-facie* does not inspire confidence.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

