

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.982 of 2021

=====

Chandeshwar Narayan Singh S/o Late Japat Narayan Singh resident of vill.-
Rajipur, P.s.- Rani Talab (Bikram), District-Patna, At present residing at
Bamyanti Sadan, T.P.S. College Road, Mohalla- Chirayan Tand, Patna-
800001, P.s.- Kankarbagh, Town and District-Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department,
Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna
2. The Secretary, Education Department, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna
3. The Director-cum-Joint Commissioner, directorate General Provident Fund,
Pant Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna
4. District Magistrate, Patna
5. The District Provident Fund Officer, Patna
6. The District Programme Officer, District- Patna
7. The District Programme Officer, District- Bhojpur
8. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), District- Patna
9. The Treasury Officer, Patna
10. The Head Master, High School Paliganj, District- Patna
11. The Head Master Modern High (Night) School, Dariyapur, Patna-800004,
Town and District- Patna
12. The Head Master, Town High School, Ara, District- Bhojpur
13. The Head Master, Neura High School, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Krishna Chandra, Advocate.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, AC to GP 20.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 10-11-2022

Heard Mr. Krishna Chandra, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, learned AC to GP 20 for the
State.



The present application has been filed seeking direction upon the Respondent to re-calculate the amount applying the statutory rate of interest over the GPF amount.

Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submit that earlier the substantive amount under the head of GPF was paid to the petitioner, however, the same was much lesser to his entitlement. The petitioner made representation before the District Program Officer as well District Provident Fund Officer, Patna, along with the chart showing discrepancies in the calculation. On receipt of the aforesaid representation, certain queries have been made and in response to the aforesaid queries, the petitioner submitted the requisite necessary documents/papers before the concerned authorities, but till date no final order has been passed by the District Program Officer, Patna.

At this juncture learned counsel for the State Submits that if the petitioner would file a fresh representation along with all the necessary documents in support of his claim, the same would be considered by the District Program Officer, Patna.

Considering the submissions made on behalf of the parties and having gone through the materials available on the record, this court directs the petitioner to file a representation along with the calculation chart and necessary documents in



support of his claim before the District Program Officer, Patna, within a period of four weeks from today.

If such representation is filed on behalf of the petitioner, the respondent District Program Officer, Patna, shall consider the same and pass reasoned and speaking order preferably within a period of six weeks, thereafter.

It is needless to say that if the petitioner would be found entitled to any further amount under the head of GPF, the order for payment would be passed within the aforesaid period.

Accordingly, the present writ application stands disposed of.

(Harish Kumar, J)

manoj/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	14.11.2022
Transmission Date	NA

