

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.35498 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-201 Year-2017 Thana- MASHRAK District- Saran

SHATRUGHAN KUMAR MAHTO @ SHATRUGHAN MAHTE S/o Raj
Haran Mahato Resident of Village- Durgauli, P.S.- Mashrakh, District- Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Harish Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anant Kumar 1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 29-11-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 379 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has antecedent of five cases and the informant alleges that his Bolero vehicle was stolen in the night of 03.08.2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated because of his antecedents, it is next submitted that FIR was against unknown and his name transpired in the confessional statement of co-accused 'Ramesh Manjhi' in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value, it is also submitted that petitioner will not



evade the law rather will co-operate in the investigation and will present himself as and when required by the Investigating Officer of the case.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Mashrak P.S. Case No. 201 of 2017 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

One of the bailors shall be the father of the petitioner Raj Haran Mahato.

Further, in the event, if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that the petitioner despite giving assurance to this Court is not co-operating in the investigation or is not presenting himself as and when required, the learned trial court after giving



an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner shall pass order in
accordance with law and shall also have the liberty to cancel his
bail bonds.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

