

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14162 of 2021

=====

Dhiraj Kumar Ranjan @ Dhiraj Kumar Son of Parmanand Kishore Resident
of Village and Post Office and Police Station - Sirdalla, District- Nawadah.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Nawadah.
3. The Sub Divisional Officer, Rajauli, District- Nawadah.
4. The Circle Officer, Sirdalla, District- Nawadah.
5. The Sub Divisional Police Officer, (S.D.P.O.), Rajauli, District- Nawadah.
6. The Officer - in - charge (S.H.O.), Police Station- Sirdalla, District-
Nawadah.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Arun Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Manish Kumar (Gp4)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR)

**(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted through
Video Conferencing and the Advocates joined the proceedings
through Video Conferencing from their residence.)**

Date : 17-01-2022

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for following relief:-

- (i) A writ in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing upon the Respondents concerned to open the lock and unsealed the cloth shop of the petitioner which was locked in the pretext of maintaining peace despite interim order of status-quo passed by learned Bihar Land Tribunal by the Respondent Circle Officer, Sirdalla on the oral direction of Respondent Sub Divisional Officer, Rajauli and after finally order passed by learned Bihar Land Tribunal in favour of petitioner consequential

direction has been issued to unseal/unlock the shop of petitioner and for that Respondent Circle Officer, Sirdalla apprised the Respondent S.H.O. of Sirdalla Police Station to depute additional police force for reopening the shop bearing Letter No. 20 dated 16.01.2021 (Annexure-7) but till date no action has been taken causing much financial loss to the petitioner as the shop in question is only source of livelihood of the petitioner.

- (ii) For a further direction upon the Respondents concerned to pay adequate compensation to the petitioner for keeping the shop locked without any legal proceeding pending in this regard or no any

adverse order has been passed
against the petitioner as yet.

It is submitted on behalf of the learned counsel for the State that during pendency of this writ petition, shop of the petitioner has already been unlocked, as such, his grievance stands redressed by the authorities.

Since the grievance of the petitioner has already been redressed, the writ petition is disposed of.

However, liberty reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law if the need so arises subsequently.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

Sanjay/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	20.01.2022
Transmission Date	NA