

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.1278 of 2018

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7614 of 2016

=====

Beli Kumari @ Beli Devi Wife of Sri Kant Paswan Daughter of Nandlal Paswan Resident of Village-Dahiyari, P.O.-Sikaria, P.S. Karakat, District-Rohtas.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar.
2. Bihar Sanskrit Siksha Board, Patna.
3. The Secretary, Bihar Sanskrit Siksha Board, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Rohtas.
5. The Child Development Project Officer, Karakat, District-Rohtas.
6. The District Programme Officer, Rohtas.
7. Punam Devi, Daughter of Ramesh Ram, Wife of Rajendra Ram Resident of Village-Dahiyari, P.O.-Sikaria, P.S. Karakat, District-Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Kanhaiya Pandey, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rajani Kant Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

Date : 04-11-2022

This matter is heard *via* video conferencing.

Heard I.A. No. 01 of 2020 for condonation of delay.

For the reasons stated in the application and affidavit, delay of one day in filing present L.P.A. is condoned. Accordingly, I.A. No. 01 of 2020 stands allowed.

L.P.A. No. 1278 of 2018



With the consent of the learned counsels for the respective parties matter is taken up for final disposal.

The appellant has assailed the order of the learned Single Judge dated 06.08.2018 passed in CWJC No. 7614 of 2016.

Appellant-Beli Kumari and seventh respondent Punam Devi were candidates for the post of Anganwadi Sewika and seventh respondent was selected and appointed.

Feeling aggrieved and dissatisfied with the appointment and selection of seventh respondent appellant-Beli Kumari filed C.W.J.C. No. 7614 of 2016. The sole ground contended by the appellant is that seventh respondent-Punam Devi education certificates were not genuine whereas before the learned Single Judge counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of official respondents and so also authorities of Bihar Sanskrit Sikhsa Board who have confirmed the certificates of Punam Devi are genuine. The same has been taken note off by the learned Single Judge while rejecting C.W.J.C. No. 7614 of 2016. Still aggrieved by the order of the learned Single Judge the appellant has presented this appeal. In support of L.P.A. the appellant has not produced any material information so as to overcome the counter affidavit filed by the official respondents read with the confirmation of the certificate of Punam Devi-respondent that certificates are genuine.



It is to be noted that such confirmation is by the Bihar Sanskrit Siksha Board. The appellant has not produced any contrary documents in order to overcome the stand of the official respondents selecting and appointing authority-Bihar Sanskrit Siksha Board.

In the light of these facts and circumstances, the appellant has not made out a case so as to interfere with the learned Single Judge order dated 06.08.2018 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 7614 of 2016.

Accordingly, the present Letters Patent Appeal No. 1278 of 2018 stands dismissed.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Vikash/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	N/A

