

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.404 of 2021

=====

Ramdin Ram, Son of Bhikkhi Ram, age about 44 years, Male, R/o Village
Islamailpur, P.S.- Chainpur, District- Kaimur at Bhabua.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Higher Education, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Chairman, Bihar Public Service Commission, Patna.
3. The President, Bihar Public Service Commission, Patna.
4. The Secretary, Bihar Public Service Commission, Patna.
5. Joint Secretary-cum-Examination Controller, Bihar Public Service Commission, Patna.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Tribhuwan Narayan, Advocate
For the State : Mr. J.K. Roy, S.C. 13.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH
SHARMA

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH)

3 27-04-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

The present writ petition has been filed on behalf of
the petitioner for issuance of a direction to the respondent
authorities to permit the petitioner to participate in the interview
for the post of Lecturer of the Health and Physical Education for
the training college, after fixing a fresh date for his interview,
since the petitioner has qualified the written examination but he



has not been permitted to participate in the interview due to delay approached at the interview centre.

The petitioner has applied for the post of Lecturer of Health and Physical Education for Government Training College against the Advertisement No. 3/2016. The petitioner had participated in the written examination. The result of written examination was published wherein the petitioner was declared to be a successful candidate for the interview. The petitioner received the interview letter wherein he was called for interview in the office of Bihar Public Service Commission on 24.06.2020 at about 10.30 A.M. but due to Covid-19 lockdown, the petitioner could not arrive at the interview centre on time as such he was not permitted to participate in the interview whereas some other candidates appeared. Thereafter, the results were published and the process of appointment had come to an end.

Taking into account that the process of appointment has already been completed, further reopening of the entire process of appointment for the petitioner cannot be permitted. Hence, the petitioner cannot be allowed for fresh interview.

In the said circumstances, the relief sought for in the present writ petition cannot be entertained.



Accordingly, the present writ petition stands dismissed.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

U.K./-

U			
---	--	--	--

