

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.43799 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-232 Year-2020 Thana- MADHEPURA COMPALINT CASE
District- Madhepura

=====

Raj Kumar Love S/o Motilal Yadav Resident of Village - Golma Western Tola
Arjunpur, P.S. - Sur Bazar (Patarghat O.P.), District - Saharsa.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Dayrani Kumari @ Priyanka Kumari W/o Raj Kumar Love, Daughter of
Ashok Kumar Yadav Resident of Village - Arjunpur, Golma West, P.S. -
Sour Bazar (Patarghat O.P.), District - Saharsa at present address R/o Village
- Jhitkiya Ward No. 7, P.S. - Ghailadh, District - Madhepura.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Raja Surendra Mohan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Ramchandra Sahni, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

7 05-05-2022 Heard the parties.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Complaint
Case No.232 of 2020, registered for offence punishable under
Section 498(A) of the Indian Penal Code.

On 10.03.2022 both the parties had appeared physically.

Thereafter on 31.03.2022, the following order was passed:

“Heard the parties.

*Learned counsel for the petitioner, during the course of argument
on instruction, has submitted that he is ready to return two
kathas of land which was transferred by the girl family in the
name of the petitioner before marriage.*

*This will be done within a period of 15 days from today.
Put up this case after four weeks for further hearing. Till the next
date of hearing, the interim order dated 03.03.2022 shall
continue.”*

The learned lawyer for the petitioner has now changed and



new arguments are being advance on behalf of the petitioner.

The petitioner has not yet acted as per this undertaking before this court made on 31.03.2022. The interim order dated 03.03.2022 is vacated.

This order be communicated to the Magistrate concerned dealing with Madhepura Complaint Case No.. The court below shall take all the steps against the petitioner and proceed in the matter.

The allegation against the petitioner is of demand of dowry and torture in a marriage, which was solemnized on 17.06.2019 and the complaint was filed on 12.08.2020 i.e. less than an year of the marriage. This kind of the behaviour of the petitioner and the allegations against the petitioner is serious in nature. The petitioner has also violated the undertaking given before this Court.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the petitioner has also violated the undertaking given before this Court, the application for anticipatory bail is dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

S.Katyayan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

