

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34178 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-3 Year-2022 Thana- MAHILA PS District- East Champaran

1. SANJAY SHRIVASTAWA @ SNJAY PRASAD SON OF LT. HARENDRA PRASAD R/O VILLAGE- SATPIPRA, P.S.- RAMGARHWA, DISTRICT- EAST CHAMPARAN
2. BITAM DEVI WIFE OF SANJAY SHRIVASTAWA @ SANJAY PRASAD R/O VILLAGE- SATPIPRA, P.S.- RAMGARHWA, DISTRICT- EAST CHAMPARAN
3. NISHA SHRIVASTAWA D/O- SANJAY SRIVASTAWA @ SANJAY PRASAD R/O VILLAGE- SATPIPRA, P.S.- RAMGARHWA, DISTRICT- EAST CHAMPARAN
4. DIPAK SHRIVASTAWA SON OF SANJAY SRIVASTAWA @ SANJAY PRASAD R/O VILLAGE- SATPIPRA, P.S.- RAMGARHWA, DISTRICT- EAST CHAMPARAN

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Dhannjay Kumar No 2, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Umesh Lal Verma, APP Mr.Karandeep Kumar, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 22-09-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to remove the defects within four weeks of resumption of normal court proceedings. In the eventuality of non-removal of defects within stipulated period, office will place the matter before the Bench.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case



registered for the offence punishable under Sections 498A, 406/34 of the Indian Penal Code and $\frac{3}{4}$ of D.P. Act.

Allegedly, all the FIR named accused persons including the petitioners are said to have subjected the informant to cruelty and tortured her for non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case. No such occurrence, in the manner as alleged, has ever taken place. Petitioners are the in-laws of the informant. The allegation leveled against the petitioners is not specific rather general and omnibus in nature. They have never made any dowry demand nor have tortured the informant. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

Learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioners, be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand)



each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Motihari Mahila P.S. Case No.03 of 2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

pallavi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

