

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 103 of 2018

1. Baidyanath Pandit son of Kusheshwar Pandit resident of village and post office Ahiyari, Police Station Kamtaul District Darbhanga.
2. Alakh Prasad son of Shri Pratap Singh resident of village and Post Office - Bhagan Bigha, Police Station and District Nalanda.
3. Suresh Thakur son of Shri Ram Dayal Thakur resident of village Rampur Patori, Post Office Banchouri, Police Station and District Sitamarhi.
4. Surendra Singh son of Shri Ram Ekbal Singh resident of village Thahara, Post Office Maker, Police Station Maker District Saran at Chapra.
5. Jawahar Bhagat son of Ram Nandan Bhagat resident of village Beherabgachhi, Post Office Naya Gaon, Police Station Naya Gaon District Saran at Chapra.
6. Yogendra Sharma son of late Tila Sharma resident of village Balbhadrapur, Post Office Belaur, Police Station Kurhni, District - Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary to Government, Public Health Engineering Department, Vishweshwaraiya Bhavan, Bailey Road, Patna
2. The Engineer-in-Chief-cum-Special Secretary, Public Health Engineering Department, Vishweshwaraiya Bhavan, Bailey Road, Patna
3. The Chief Engineer (Mechanical), Public Health Engineering Department, Public Health Engineering Department, Vishweshwaraiya Bhavan, Bailey Road, Patna
4. The Superintending Engineer, Public Health Engineering Chapra Circle, Chapra.
5. The Executive Engineer, Public Health Division, Chapra.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr Lalan Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr Arbind Ujjwal, SC IV

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

5 26-07-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the State.

Petitioners No 2 to 5, as per submission of the learned



counsel for the petitioners, has been given the benefit of Assured Career Progression. It is, however, submitted that petitioners No 1 and 6 have not been given the financial benefits.

This writ petition, in so far as petitioners No 2 to 5 is concerned, has, thus, become infructuous.

In so far as petitioners No 1 and 6 are concerned, since the decision, as per petitioners' counsel, has been taken in their favour, they would be at liberty to approach Respondent No 5 with the decision, and copy of this order for availing the financial benefits and Respondent No 5 will take a decision, and pay the due and admissible amount, if any, without any undue delay.

This writ petition stands disposed of.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

U			
---	--	--	--

