

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.43646 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-88 Year-2020 Thana- NAANPUR District- Sitamarhi

RAJ KUMAR SAHNI Son of Shivjee Sahni Resident of Village - Raipur,
P.S.- Nanpur, District - Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Jha, Adb.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kalyan Shankar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

4 02-03-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Nanpur P.S. Case No. 88 of 2020 registered for the offence
under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 379, 504/34 of the Indian
Penal Code.

The petitioner along with others are said to have
snatched the motorcycle of the informant in which Rs.
34,000/- was kept in the dicky and fled away.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been
implicated in this case. In fact, nothing has been recovered
from the conscious possession of the petitioner. He further



submits that no T.I.P. has been conducted by the prosecution as yet. The police after investigation has submitted charge sheet in this case. The petitioner is rotting in judicial custody since 19.02.2021.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries three more cases other than the present one.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the, above named, petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Pupri at Sitamarhi in connection with Nanpur P.S. Case No. 88 of 2020 with the following conditions:-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be canceled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to



move for cancellation of bail.

And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

brajesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

