

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8906 of 2022

=====

Raman Kumar S/o Sri Kamal Prasad Singh Resident of Village- Shambhupur
Kauri, P.S.- Sarai, District- Vaishali- 844114.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, National Highway, Gulzarbagh Division, R-Block, Patna.
2. The Engineer-in-Chief, National Highway, Gulzarbagh Division, R-Block, Patna.
3. The Executive Engineer, National Highway, Gulzarbagh Division, R-Block, Patna.
4. The Junior Engineer, National Highway, Gulzarbagh Division, R-Block, Patna.
5. The State of Bihar through the Secretary Rode Construction Department, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Surendra Kishore Thakur, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Amit Prakash, GA-13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

=====

(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted by Hon'ble the Chief Justice/ Hon'ble Judges through Video Conferencing from their residential offices/residences. Also, the Advocates and the Staffs joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residences/offices.)

=====

Date : 29-07-2022

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has prayed for the following relief/s :-



“(i) For issuance of writ in the appropriate nature commanding and directing the Respondent authorities to make payment of dues amount of Rs.4,65,035/- to the petitioner against the work completed in view of the Agreement No. 5F2/2019-20 signed between the parties on 21.08.2019 along with interest.

(ii) for further direction to the Respondent authorities to dispose of the representation of the petitioner by reasoned and speaking order within time framed.

(iii) And for any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioner is found to be entitled in the eye of law.”

After the matter was heard for some time, finding the Court not in favour of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, states that the petitioner shall be content if the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present petition, with liberty to initiate appropriate proceedings before the appropriate forum and to take recourse to such other alternate remedies which are equally efficacious in law.

Prayer allowed.

It stands clarified that all issues of fact and law are left open to be agitated by the competent authority.

The petition is disposed of as withdrawn with the



liberty aforesaid.

Interlocutory application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

Ashwini/Sujit

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	02.08.2022
Transmission Date	

