

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 877 of 2018

1. Jai Prakash Kumar Son of Shiv Kumar Mehta, Resident of Village and Post-Bumuar, P.S. Barachatti, District-Gaya.
2. Manoj Kumar Son of Bageshwari Prasad Thakur, Resident of Village-Gewalganj, P.O. Imamganj, P.S. Imamganj, District-Gaya.
3. Arun Kumar Verma Son of Kapil Deo Prasad, Resident at Nauranga, Post-Nauranga, P.S. Moffasil, District-gaya.
4. Rashid Maqbool Son of Maqbool Ahmad, Resident of Mohalla-New Karimganj, Post. Old Karimganj, P.S. Civil Lines, District-Gaya.
5. Faiyaz Alam Son of Md. Reyazuddin, Resident at Cherki Beladih Post and P.S. Cherki, District-gaya.
6. Anoj Kumar Son of Kapil Deo Singh, Resident of Village-Purnadih, Post-Kariyadpur, P.S. Fatehpur, District-gaya.
7. Abhay Narayan Son of Kapil deo Narayan, Resident of Village-Deochand Dih, Post-Pipra, P.S. Dumariya, District-Gaya.
8. Kumar Shubham Son of Sudama Singh Yadav, Resident of Mohalla-Nai Godown Pahasi Lane, Post-Railway Station, Gaya, P.S. Kotwali, Gaya, District-Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Food and Consumer Protection Department, Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary, Food and Consumer protection Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Additional Mission Director-Cum-Principal Secretary, Bihar Administrative Reform Mission Society, General Administration Department, Bihar, Patna
4. The Managing Director, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Limited, Khadya Bhawan, Daroga Rai Path, Patna
5. The District Magistrate, District Collectorate, Gaya.
6. The District Manager, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Limited, Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	M/s Ashutosh Nath, Amit Bhaskar, Advocates
For the S t a t e	:	Mr Arvind Ujjwal, SC IV
For the B S F C	:	Mr Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate



CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

4 10-10-2022 Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that this writ petition has become infructuous as the petitioners have already been offered appointment.

This writ petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

U			
---	--	--	--

