

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.334 of 2018

1. Ram Rati Devi wife of Late Baban Ram.
2. Kanti Devi wife of Late Bhagelu Ram.
3. Janki Devi wife of Sakhi Chand Ram
4. Mina Devi wife of Nanhak Ram. All the petitioner No. 1 to 4 are resident of Village- Bihta, P.O. +P.S.- Bihta, District- Patna.
5. Jag Narayan Gop son of Late Siya Saran Gop, resident of Village- Sikandarpur, P.O.- Kodesar, P.S.- Bihta, District- Patna.
6. Mahavir Paswan, son of Late Misri Paswan, resident of Village- Naudiha, P.S.- Nabautapur, District- Patna.
7. Sharwan Prasad, son of Late Ramgawar Rai, resident of Village- Dilawarpur, P.S.- Bihta, District- Patna.
8. Sharyug Rai, son of Late Dilchand Rai, resident of Village- Alahanpur, P.S.- Bihta, District- Patna.
9. Tej Narayan Singh, son of Late Sudarshan Singh, resident of Village- Bisarpur, P.S.- Naubatpur, District- Patna.
10. Jaydayal Singh, son of Late Sahebjada Singh, resident of Village- Bisunpura, P.S.- Bihta, District- Patna.
11. Rajeshwar Singh, son of Late Baidnath Singh, resident of Village- Bisunpura, P.S.- Bihta, District- Patna.
12. Manager Singh, son of Late Dudhnath Singh, resident of Village- Bisunpura, P.S.- Bihta, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar.
2. The Bihar State Sugar Corporation Ltd., through its Managing Director, Arunalaya, Ramjaypal Nagar, Bailey Road, Patna (Camp- New Secretariat , Sugar Industries Department, Viaksh Bhawan, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Sugar Industry Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Sugar Commissioner, Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate cum Collector, Patna.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Vindhya Keshri Singh Sr. Adv. with Mr. Ravi Shankar Pathak, Adv.
For the State	:	AC to AAG-7
For the BSSC	:	Mr. Gyan Shankar, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD



ORAL ORDER

4 02-08-2022 Learned senior counsel representing the petitioners submits that substantially the grievance of the petitioners has been redressed. He, however, submits that petitioners have been paid wages for only 26 days in a month instead of all days in a month.

It is submitted that for remaining grievances petitioners would be pursuing the matter before the competent authority/forum.

The writ petition is dismissed, with liberty.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

shyambihari/-

U			
---	--	--	--

