

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.43041 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-362 Year-2015 Thana- FORBESGANJ District- Araria

=====

PAPPU @ PAPPU YADAV @ PAWAN YADAV SON OF SAMAT LAL
YADAV R/O VILLAGE- ACHRA, P.S.- FULKAHA, DISTRICT- ARARIA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Gopal Kumar Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Yogendra Kumar Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 31-01-2022 Heard the parties through video conferencing.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the *Pairvikar* of the case has not given correct statement with respect to the criminal antecedent of the petitioner. However, on his personal endeavour, learned counsel has come to know that petitioner has been made accused in several other cases and in such circumstances, he seeks permission to withdraw the application filed on behalf of petitioner.

Law is well settled with regard to false averments the pleading are sufficient to attract Chapter XI of the I.P.C. In this case *Pairvikar* of the petitioner has filed the present bail application containing false averments in paragraph No.3. This practice of having made a false statement incorporated in an affidavit filed before Court should always be deprecated.



In view of the above submission, the application filed on behalf of the petitioner is dismissed as withdrawn.

The petitioner, if so advised, may file fresh application without suppressing any fact on affidavit. The petitioner may utilize the certified copy of the impugned order etc. to avoid any further delay. If such application is filed, the office is directed to place the present case along with fresh bail application.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

