

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10014 of 2015

Pradip Kumar Sinha, Son of Late Alakh Prasad, Resident of Mohalla- Moti Lal Lane, Sikandarpur, Post- Mirjanhat, P.S.- Mojahidpur, District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Bank of India, through its Chairman Corporate Centre, State Bank Bhawan, Madam Cama Road, Mumbai.
2. The Chief General Manager, State Bank of India, Local Head Office, West Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
3. The Deputy General Manager, State Bank of India, Khanjarpur, Bhagalpur.
4. Assistant General Manager cum Authorized Officer, State Bank of India, RASMECC-SARK, Administrative Office Building, 3rd Floor, Khanjarpur, Bhagalpur.
5. The Branch Manager, Local Officer of Auction Property, RASMECC-SARK, Administrative Office Building, 3rd Floor, Khanjarpur, Bhagalpur.
6. The State of Bihar through the District Magistrate, Bhagalpur.
7. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Sadar Bhagalpur.
8. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Bhagalpur.
9. Shankar Prasad Gupta @ Bhola Prasad Sah, Son of Late Bishwanath Sah, resident of Mohalla- Mohaddinagar, P.S.- Mozahidpur, District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	: Mr. Jashawir Singh Arora, Sr. Advocate along with Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate
For the State	: Mr. Rishi Raj Sinha, SC 19
For the State Bank of India	: Ms. Namrata Mishra, Advocate with Ms. Archana Jha, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH)

Date : 20-12-2022

Heard Mr. Jashawir Singh Arora, learned senior counsel
along with Mr. Manoj Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner;
Mr. Rishi Raj Sinha, learned SC 19 for the State and Ms. Namrata



Mishra, learned counsel for the State Bank of India (hereinafter referred to as the “S.B.I.”).

2. The short point involved in the case was that the writ petitioner despite having paid for a property brought from the S.B.I. under auction and having paid the amount in the year 2014 had still not got possession of the said property.

3. Today learned counsel for the S.B.I. has produced copy of the possession letter on which the parties have signed in acknowledgment of possession being handed over to the petitioner of the premises concerned.

4. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the possession.

5. Having regard to the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J)

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

Arish/-

AFR/NAFR	
U	
T	

