

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32475 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-59 Year-2022 Thana- FATEHPUR District- Gaya

BIKAS KUMAR @ BIKKU @ VIKKU KUMAR @ VIKAKU KUMAR S/o
Late Suraj Prasad R/o village- Fatehpur, P.S.- Fatehpur, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. N.K. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate
		Mr. Vijay Anand, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Madhura Nand Jha, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Arun Kumar Gupta, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 27-09-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 504, 506, 326, 307 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on account of dispute relating to money, petitioner along with named accused persons came to the shop of the informant and petitioner repeatedly stabbed him causing injury on his stomach and also assaulted with knife on head causing injury, it is next alleged that when his son came to



rescue him, he was also stabbed by Mithlesh injuring his right hand and also took away Rs. 23,000/-.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that no doubt the allegation is of stabbing the informant, but then from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR it would manifest that it was the informant who had earlier gone to the shop of the petitioner asking for money when admittedly there was no due, it is also submitted that on intervention of the well-wishers and the informant also realizing his mistake has entered into a compromise with the petitioner and accordingly a compromise petition has been filed in the learned trial court.

Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail application of the petitioner but the learned counsel for the informant very fairly submits that the parties have compromised and as such he is not opposing the bail application.

At this stage, the learned senior counsel seeks permission to withdraw the anticipatory bail application.

Permission is accorded.

In the event, if the petitioner surrenders in the learned trial court on or before 3.11.2022, the learned trial



court shall dispose of the case on the same day keeping in mind that the learned counsel for the informant has not opposed the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner before this Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

