

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32901 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-107 Year-2021 Thana- SALIMPUR District- Patna

Rohit Kumar @ Golu Son Of Late Akhilesh Rai Resident Of Village -
Goswari, P.S.- Bakhtiyarpur, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Amitesh Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

3 12-12-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State and perused the case diary.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323 and 307 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Allegedly, it is a case of shot fire upon the informant by the accused persons along with this petitioner.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and he has clean antecedent.

Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stating that there is specific allegation of firing against the petitioner due to which



the informant sustained gun shot injury and during investigation, witnesses have also supported the case of the prosecution. From perusal of the injury report, as mentioned at para nos.7 and 8 of the supplementary case diary, there are several penetrating wounds to the informant and the doctor concerned has opined that injuries are grievous in nature caused by projective weapon.

After considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Brajesh Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

