

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32765 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-46 Year-2020 Thana- NAGAR District- Vaishali

=====

Rangam Kumar S/o Late Yogendra Singh R/o Village- Surahatha, Dharampur,
P.S.- Vaishali (Belsar O.P.), District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Namrata Mishra

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.A.G.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

10 20-04-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is expected

to honour his undertaking given in the instant case for

depositing the requisite court fee and to remove the

defects as pointed out by the office when called upon to

do so by the office.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a

case registered for the offences punishable under

Sections 406, 420, 409, 467, 468, 471, 34 of the

Indian Penal Code.

The prosecution case as per F.I.R is that the



petitioner along with co-accused Vijay Kumar Thakur and Ram Chandra Chaudhary in collusion with each other committed defalcation of khadi cloths and other articles worth Rs. 50 lakhs kept in the godown of Khadi Bhandar Bhawan situated at Hajipur and they locked the godown on 24.11.2015, as a result of which, the furniture and other articles worth Rs. 5 lakhs got damaged. It is further alleged that a committee was constituted for conducting enquiry who submitted its report and found the allegation against the petitioner true. Accordingly, the petitioner has been dismissed from the post of Manager.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that informant himself was an employee of the Khadi Bhandar who was discharged on 18.01.2017 due to misconduct.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the informant that anticipatory bail petition of co-accused Vijay Kumar Thakur has been rejected by a co-ordinate



Bench of this Court vide order dated 03.12.2020 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 26471 of 2020.

Regard being had to the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is rejected.

However, if the petitioner surrenders before learned Court below within a period of four weeks and pray for regular bail, the same shall be considered without being prejudiced by the order of this Court.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Shageer/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

