

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA  
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31217 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1504 Year-2017 Thana- VAISALI COMPLAINT CASE  
District- Vaishali

=====

Amarjeet Kumar S/o Shivji Chaudhary Resident of Chaudhary Bazar,  
Minapur, P.S.- Hajipur Town, District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Phool Kumari Chaudhary W/o Late Rajendra Chaudhary Resident of  
Village- Jarua Kumhar Toli, P.S.- Hajipur (Town), District- Vaishali.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jai Vardhan Narayan

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Madhuri Lata

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR**  
ORAL ORDER

2      22-11-2022                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned  
counsel for the complainant and learned APP for the State.

The instant application for anticipatory bail has been  
filed by the petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection  
with Complaint Case No. 50(C) of 2017 instituted for the  
offence under Sections 147, 148, 452, 380, 386, 307, 323, 504  
of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of Arms Act.

As per allegation in the FIR, the complainant's son  
and daughter-in-law used to assault and abuse the complainant  
with intention to grab her residential house. When the daughter  
and son-in-law of complainant came to her house, the accused  
persons dashed them and on protest by complainant they tried to



kill them by pressing her neck. It is further alleged that this petitioner and other accused Virendra snatched wrist watch and Rs. 2000/- from the pocket of complainant's son-in-law and all persons also broke the wall of the complainant's room and took away Briefcase containing ornaments of gold and silver with Cash of Rs. 40,000/-.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has wrongly been made accused in this present case due to he is brother of co-accused Kajal Kumari. It is further submitted that he has no concern with the complainant. All the allegations against the petitioner are general and omnibus.

Learned APP appearing for the state and learned counsel for the complainant have opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case as stated above, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The petitioner is directed to surrender in the Court below within a period of four weeks from today and in the event of his arrest or surrender in connection with Complaint Case No. 150(c) of 2017, he will be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/-



(Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Vaishali at Hajipur subject to the conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

**(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)**

amandeep/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

