

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2933 of 2017

In
FIRST APPEAL No.1187 of 1972

-
1. Rudradeo Missir @ Babban Missir @ Rudra Narayan Mishra and Ors Son of Late Surajman Missir. null
 2. Petitioner No. 2 is Expunged.
 3. Nanhku Missir @ Narmadheshwar Missir, Son of Late Surajman Missir. All residents of Village- Mayar Shamsher Nagar, Police Station- Daudnagar, District- Gaya present Aurangabad.
 4. Sidhnath Singh, Son of Late Manki Singh. null
 5. Rchheya Prasad Singh, Son of Late Gauri Shankar Singh. Both residents of Village- Mayar Shamsher Nagar, Police Station- Daudnagar, District- Gaya present Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1.1. Daulatiya Devi, W/o Naresh Thakur, At and P.S. Dharampur, District- Bhojpur.
- 1.2. Pyariya Devi, W/o Late Dasain Hajam & Daughter of Late Mathura Hajam, Resident of Town- Dehri, P.S.- Dehri, District- Aurangabad.
- 1.3. Chulhan Thakur, Son of Late Mathura Hajam.
2. Sheopujan Hajam, Son of Late Gabudan Hajam.
3. Rampujan Hajam, Son of Late Gabudan Hajam. null
4. Dilkeshwar Devi, D/o Late Gabudan Hajam. null
5. Manmata Devi, D/o Late Gabudan Hajam. null
6. Fudni Devi. null null
7. Girija Devi. null
8. Sonful Devi. Daughters of Late Raghunandan Hajam.
- 9.1. Ramadhin Hajam, S/o Late Sheolochan Hajam. 1 iii to 9 i all are residents of Village- Mayar Shamsher Nagar, P.S.- Daudnagar, District- Aurangabad.
- 9.2. Smt. Santi Devi, wife of Sri Ram Kumar Thakur and daughter of Late Sheolochan Hajam, resident of Village- Deo Chand, P.S.- Tarari, District- Bhojpur.
- 9.3. Smt. Kanti Devi, wife of Ramjeet Thakur, P.S.- Not known, P.O.- Khutha, District- Shahabad.
10. Rajamani Devi, widow of Late Ramnandan Hajam.
11. Krishna Dutt Hajam, S/o Late Ramnandan Hajam.
12. Malti Devi, D/o Late Ramnandan Hajam. 10 to 12 all residents of Village- Mayar Shamsher Nagar, Police Station- Daudnagar, District- Old Gaya and New- Aurangabad.
13. Mahangi Devi, R 13 is died her heirs are already on record as R. 18 to R. 20



widow of Late Bengali Hajam.

14. Most. Nagmani Devi @ Nagwa Devi, widow of Tejan Hajam.
- 1.
14. Sampatiya Devi, D/o Tijan Hajam.
- 2.
14. Puspa Devi, D/o Tijan Hajam. i to iii All residents of Village- Mayar
3. Shamsheer Nagar, Police Station- Daudnagar, District- Gaya present Aurangabad.
15. Most. Yugeshwari Devi, widow of Late Deonandan Hajam, resident of
1. Village- Mayar Shamsheer Nagar, P.S.- Daudnagar, District- Aurangabad.
15. Smt. Gulbadni Devi, wife of Gupteshwar Sharma @ Gupteshwar Thakur
2. and Daughter of Deonandan Hajam, resident of Village- Gaura Chandi, P.O. Karakat, P.O.- Amura in the District- Rohtas.
16. Name not known widow of Late Durga Hajam.
17. Sakuntala Devi, daughter of Late Durga Hajam.
18. Sheonandan Hajam, S/o Late Tijan Hajam.
19. Ram Ashis Hajam, S/o Late Tijan Hajam.
20. Balindra Hajam, S/o Late Tijan Hajam.
21. Ramjanam Hajam, S/o Late Durga Hajam.
22. Rabindra Nath.
23. Nagendra Nath Both Minor sons of Deonandan Hajam. under the guardianship of Sri Hare Krishna Prasad (Advocate) Gay 16 to 23 all residents of Village- Maya Shamsheer Nagar, Police Station- Daudnagar, District- Old Gaya, New- Aurangabad.
24. Mani Devi, Daughter of Late Bengali Hajam and wife of Mundrika Hajam.
25. Vidyanand.
26. Rajnandan Both minor sons of Mundrika Hajam. under the guardianship of Sri Hare Krishna Pd. Advocate, Gaya. 24 to 26 all residents of Village- Mayar Shamsheer Nagar, Police Station- Daudnagar, District- Old- Gaya, and New- Aurangabad.
27. Srimati Buchan Devi, daughter of Sri Surajman Missir, and wife of Ambika Missir, resident of Village- Chanaut, Police Station- Kutumba, District- Gaya.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajiv Ranjan
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Jitendra Prasad Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 14-10-2022

I.A. No. 7296 of 2017



Heard learned counsel for the petitioners.

The present interlocutory application has been filed for condonation of delay in filing the restoration application.

For the reasons mentioned in the interlocutory application, I find sufficient cause having been explained to condone the delay.

Accordingly, the application is allowed. Delay in filing the restoration application shall be treated to be within time.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Saif/-Sunny

U			
---	--	--	--

