

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8671 of 2022

Dr. Manju Mala, H/o No. 9A-156, Anand Vihar Colony, Mustafabad, Gaya 823001.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through Commissioner of Income Tax, Patna, Office of the Commissioner of Income Tax, Patna, Central Revenue Building (Annexe), 5th and 6th Floor, Birchand Patel Marg, Patna.
2. The Assistant Commissioner of Income Tax, Circle-3, Gaya, O/O the Assistant Commissioner Income Tax-Circle 3(1), Income Tax Office, Rai Kashi Nath Chowk, Gaya-823001, Bihar.
3. The Income Tax Officer, Ward-3, Gaya-823001.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajeev Ranjan, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mrs. Archana Sinha @ Archana Shahi, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 29-06-2022

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

“(I) To issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ/order or direction in the nature thereof to the respondents to correct the mistake made by the bank in petitioner Income-tax records, of entering A.Y. 2017-18 instead of 2014-15 as in challan no. 11 dated 15.03.2017 for an amount of Rs. 2,05,432/-, and after correction, refund Rs. 2,05,432/- along with bank interest for by 1177 days be done in favour of petitioner along with bank interest on Rs. 16,67,570/-, for delayed payment by 158 days.

(II) To issue a show cause cum explanation notice to the respondent authorities that why there has been such inordinate delay.

(III) And any other relief or reliefs as may deem fit



in the present circumstances of the case.”

Mrs. Archana Sinha, learned counsel for the respondents has placed on record copy of communication dated 13.06.2022.

Evidently, the petitioner's grievance stands redressed with the amount being remitted into her account. The contents of the letter are extracted as under:-



At this stage, Shri Vikash Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the component of interest is yet required to be paid, which fact is seriously disputed by Mrs. Archana Sinha. She further states that in any event, let the petitioner approach the concerned officer venting out the surviving grievances and the said officer shall take an appropriate decision expeditiously in accordance with law and preferably within a period of three months.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection in accepting such prayer.

As such, present petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

Interlocutory application, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

Sujit/Ashwini

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	04.07.2022
Transmission Date	NA

