

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29868 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-101 Year-2021 Thana- KINJAR District- Jehanabad

=====

RIPUNJAY KUMAR @ MRITUNJAY KUMAR SON OF LATE
SATYENDRA SINGH R/O VILLAGE- MAU, P.S.- MAU O.P. (TEKARI),
DISTRICT- GAYA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bindeshwar Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr .Pranav Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-08-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for
the offence under Sections 379 and 414 of the Indian Penal
Code.

The case relates to recovery of stolen motorcycle.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been
implicated in this case. He further submits that the name of
petitioner has been surfaced in this case on the basis of
disclosure made by the co-accused, Golu Kumar. He further
submits that, in fact, neither anything incriminating has
been recovered from the conscious possession of the



petitioner nor the petitioner has been put on T.I.P. by the prosecution as yet. He further submits that the police after investigation has submitted charge-sheet in this case against the petitioner. The petitioner is rotting in judicial custody since 31.03.2022.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries one more case other than the present one.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the, above named, petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Kinjar P.S. Case No. 101 of 2021 corresponding to G.R. No. 813 of 2021 with the following conditions:-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be canceled by the Court below.



(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

braj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

