

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30499 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-175 Year-2022 Thana- MOTIHARI TOWN District- East
Champaran

=====

Ranjeet Pandey Son Of Dilip Pandey R/O- Vill- Kachichi Pakki, P.S.- Sadar,
Dist.- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. N.K. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate.
	:	Mr. Raju Kumar, Advocate
	:	Mr. P.M.M. Prasad, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Nagendra Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 25-08-2022 Let the defects, as pointed out by the office, be removed within four weeks of starting of Court proceeding in physical mode in normal course.

Heard Mr. N.K. Agrawal, learned senior counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

Petitioner seek bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 399 and 402 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25(1-B) a, 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

One live cartridge was recovered from possession of the petitioner.

Learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent. He has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that it



appears from the FIR as well as seizure list that one live cartridge has been recovered from possession of the petitioner and the police, after investigation, submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 11.03.2022.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Town P.S. Case No.175 of 2022, with the following conditions:-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at



any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

