

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8306 of 2022

Ashwani Kumar Son of Manoj Kumar, resident of Kirtan Bhavan, Station Chowk, Saratganj, Ward No. 18, P.S. - Madhubani, District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Prohibition Excise and Registration Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Inspector General of Registration, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Assistant Registration Inspector General, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
5. The District Sub-Registra, Madhubani.
6. The Sub-Registrar, Jainagar, Madhubani.
7. The Certificate Officer, Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Abhinay Raj, Advocate
		Mr. Gagan Deo Yadav, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Pawan Kumar, AC to AG

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 28-07-2022

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

1. That through the present writ application the petitioner prays for issuance of appropriate writ for quashing and setting aside the order dated 29/09/2018 passed in the Stamp Case No.148/2017- 18, passed by the Assistant Inspector General, Registration, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga. Whereby it has been held that the



registration of the land purchased by the petitioner was at lesser value than what it ought to have been and it has been directed to initiate certificate proceeding against the petitioner and for quashing of the entire proceeding of the Stamp Case No.148/2017- 18 the same being without jurisdiction.

It is further prayed that the entire proceeding of the Certificate Case No.55/2018- 19 may be quashed and the notice dated 28/09/2019 issued in the said certificate case may also be quashed

It is further prayed that during the pendency of the present proceeding the further proceedings of the Certificate Case No.55/2018- 19 may be stayed.

After the matter was heard for some time, finding the Court not in favour of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, states that the petitioner shall be content if the petitioner is permitted to file appeal/appropriate proceedings before the appropriate forum and to take recourse to such other alternate remedies which are equally efficacious in law.

Prayer allowed with the liberty aforesaid.

We only hope and expect that as and when any such proceeding is initiated the appropriate authority shall



consider and decide the same on its own merit and with reasonable dispatch.

We clarify that we have not expressed any opinion on facts and law. All issues are left open.

Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

Interlocutory application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

Sujit/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	03.08.2022
Transmission Date	

