

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3189 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-74 Year-2013 Thana- UDAKISHUNGANJ District- Madhepura

Anil Kumar S/o Late Sitaram Mehta, R/o Village- Madhuban, P.S.- Uda-Kishanganj, Distt.- Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Electrical Executive Engineer, Electric Supply Division, Bihar State Power Holding Company, Uda-Kishanganj, District- Madhepura

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Jai Kishor Poddar
For the State	:	Mr. Nawal Kishore Prasad
For the NBPDCCL	:	Mr. Vinay Kirti Singh- Sr. Advocate
		Mr. Vijay Kumar Verma- Advocate
		Mr. Akhileshwar Singh- Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-07-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the NBPDCCL and learned APP for the State.

The learned counsel for the power company at the outset submits that case has been investigated, charge-sheet has been submitted, the case has been found true and cognizance has been taken under Sections 135 and 138 of the Electricity Act.

The learned counsel thus submits that the present quashing application was filed seeking quashing of the F.I.R., now the stage has changed and the order taking cognizance is not under the challenge, as such, for the present, the quashing



application has become infructuous.

The learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of the submission made by the learned counsel for the Power Company, seeks permission to withdraw the present quashing application.

Permission is accorded with a liberty to the petitioner to challenge the order taking cognizance.

Accordingly, instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

