

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30831 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-38 Year-2021 Thana- SARMERA District- Nalanda

AMAR KUMAR YADAV Son of Baidyanath Yadav @ Khurpa Yadav  
Resident of Village - Nayatola Jurabganj, P.S.- Kodha, District - Katihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anup Kumar Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shyam Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      31-08-2022                      Let the defects, as pointed out by the office, be removed within four weeks of starting of Court proceeding in physical mode in normal course.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Section 392 of the IPC.

Some unknown persons are alleged to have snatched the money bag of the informant.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that in fact the petitioner is not named in the FIR. The name of the petitioner has been transpired on the basis of the self confessional statement of the petitioner which was recorded in Sikandra P.S.Case No.46 of 2021. Further submits that nothing



has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner and till date no TIP has been conducted by the prosecution and the petitioner is in custody since 10.12.2021.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries one more case other than the present one.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Sarmera P.S. Case No.38 of 2021, with the following conditions:-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at



any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

**(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)**

Nitesh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

