

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8121 of 2022

Man Singh Son of Kali Charan, Resident of House No. 50/5, Near Bal Bharti School, Lakhkhi Coloney, Village- Palla, Anarnagar, Faridabad, P.S.- Faridabad, Haryana.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Registration Excise and Prohibition Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate-cum- Confiscation Officer, District- Madhubani.
3. The Superintendent of Police, District- Madhubani.
4. The Station House Officer, P.S.- Bhairavshthan, District- Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jitendra Kumar Bharti, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Vikash Kumar (S.C.11)

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR)

(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted through Video Conferencing and the Advocates joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residence.)

Date : 08-07-2022

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

1. That this is an Application praying for issuance of a Writ in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate Writ order, directing the Respondents to forthwith release the Truck of the Petitioner bearing Registration no. HR38AB2773, Chasis No.MB1A3GCD7MRJL8547 and Engine No. MJEZ406264, which has been seized by the Respondents in connection with Bhairavshthan P.S. Case no. 44 of 2022 dated 01.03.2022, instituted for the alleged violation of the provisions of IPC and Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016 and to act strictly in accordance with law.

2. That the present Writ Application is founded on the ground that the action of the Respondent Authorities in seizing the Ashok Leyland Truck of the Petitioner, without appreciating the fact that alleged recovery was not made from the conscious possession of the Petitioner, which is evident from the fact that the Petitioner was not apprehended when the alleged recovery was made, thus the action of the Respondents in seizing the vehicle is arbitrary and smacks of malafide and violates Article 300A of the Constitution of India.

Allegation is recovery of 400 ml. of illicit liquor from the seized truck of the petitioner.

Petitioner claims to be the owner of the said vehicle.

It is further submitted that a meagre quantity of 400 ml. of illicit liquor has been recovered from the vehicle, as such, it cannot be construed that the vehicle was used for

transporting/carrying illicit liquor.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, **the District Magistrate/Confiscating Officer concerned** is directed to provisionally release the vehicle of petitioner after due identification of ownership of the vehicle seized by the police in excise case on production of ownership and registration papers with respect to vehicle in question in his name with two sureties (one local) to the extent of the value of the vehicle as indicated in the insurance document.

The petitioner while submitting the sureties shall also furnish the following affidavits/undertakings:

(i) That the petitioner shall not indulge in creating any third party right or interest in respect of the vehicle during the pendency of the confiscation proceeding and shall not alienate the vehicle during this period.

(ii) The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking to produce the vehicle before the confiscating authority as and when required.

(iii) Prior to release of the vehicle, a Panchanama would be prepared wherein the photograph of the vehicle shall be taken and will be certified by the petitioner and same shall be kept on record so that in future if so required, it may be used as a secondary evidence. The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking not to challenge the said Panchanama.

The release shall be allowed within a period of 14 days from the date of submission of the sureties and the undertakings

as stated above, which would however be subject to finalization of the confiscation proceeding.

OR

It is submitted on behalf of counsel for the State that during pendency of writ petition, there has been amendment in the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Rules, 2021 and a new Rule 12(A) has been inserted which reads as under:-

“12. A. Release of Vehicles, Conveyance etc. on payment of Penalty:- (1) If any vehicles, conveyance, vessel, animal etc. has been seized by any police or excise officer under the Act, then in terms of section -57B(1) of the Act, the Collector or an officer authorized by him upon receipt of an application in Form IV by the owner of the said conveyance or vehicle etc., may release the said conveyance or vehicle upon payment of such penalty as may be ordered by the Collector or the officer authorized by him.

Provided, where it is not possible to ascertain the owner of the vehicle or the owner is not coming to claim the vehicle, the Collector or the officer authorized by him, after waiting for 15 days from the date of seizure, shall proceed to confiscate and auction the vehicle as per the provisions of the Act.

(2) The penalty shall be 50% of the latest insured value of vehicle/conveyance. The insured value is the value of the vehicle as assessed by the insurance company. Where, the insured value is not available or the Collector or the officer authorized by him has reason to believe that the vehicle is undervalued, he shall get the valuation done by the District Transport Officer and 50% of that value shall be the amount of penalty.

In any case, the Collector shall not wait beyond 15 days from the date of seizure and if during this period, the accused/owner does not pay up the penalty, he shall proceed with the confiscation/auction.

(3) Notwithstanding above, if on a report by police officer or excise officer, the Collector or the officer authorized by him is satisfied that releasing the vehicle or conveyance shall not be in the public interest, he shall proceed ahead with the confiscation of the said vehicle or conveyance and its subsequent auction / disposal.

(4) Where the conveyance is such that its valuation / insurance is not possible, the Collector or the

officer authorized by him shall impose such fine as he deems fit. While imposing such fine, the Collector or the officer authorized by him shall have due regard to the economic status of the individual, nature of his involvement in the crime and the quantum of intoxicant recovered.

(5) Such penalty shall be, regardless of the outcome of the trial if any, before the Special Court, non-refundable.

(6) The owner of the vehicle / conveyance shall, after the release of the vehicle / conveyance, produce the vehicle/ conveyance as and when required by the authorities.

[Explanation:- **In all pending / ongoing cases of confiscation/auction of vehicles, the Collector or the officer authorized by him may give an opportunity to the existing owner to pay the aforesaid penalty and get the vehicle released. Upon satisfaction about ownership and upon payment of such penalty, the ongoing confiscation/auction proceeding may be dropped and the vehicle released.]”**

In view of amendment in the Excise Act, and same being applicable in pending cases, it shall be open for the petitioner to get his/her vehicle released in terms of Rule 12(A) inserted by amending Bihar Prohibition and Excise Rules, 2021.

With said observation and direction, this writ petition is disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/rajiv-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	