

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21095 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-576 Year-2019 Thana- WEST CHAMPARAN COMPLAINT
District- West Champaran

-
1. BABU JAN KHAN Son of Mir Alam Khan Resident of Village- Lauriya, P.S.- Lauriya and District- West Champaran.
 2. Ladly Begum W/o of Babu Jan Khan Resident of Village- Lauriya, P.S.- Lauriya and District- West Champaran.
 3. Salman Khan Son of Babu Jan Khan Resident of Village- Lauriya, P.S.- Lauriya and District- West Champaran.
 4. Shamshad Khan Son of Babu Jan Khan Resident of Village- Lauriya, P.S.- Lauriya and District- West Champaran.
 5. Shahbaj Khan Son of Babu Jan Khan Resident of Village- Lauriya, P.S.- Lauriya and District- West Champaran.
 6. Arbaj Khan Son of Babu Jan Khan Resident of Village- Lauriya, P.S.- Lauriya and District- West Champaran.
 7. Shahjad Khan Son of Late Ishaque Khan Resident of Village- Lauriya, P.S.- Lauriya and District- West Champaran.
 8. Ejaj Khan Son of Late Ishaque Khan Resident of Village- Lauriya, P.S.- Lauriya and District- West Champaran.
 9. Hasibunnesha Daughter of Arman Sheikh Resident of Village- Deurwa Deoraj, P.S.- Lauriya and West Champaran.
 10. Arman Sheikh Son of Late Rafique Sheikh @ Mohmood Sheikh @ Mohammad Sheikh Resident of Village- Deurwa Deoraj, P.S.- Lauriya and District- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR Bihar
2. Gulnaz Khatoon D/o Akhtar Khan, Wife of Samir Khan Resident of Village- Lauriya, P.S. and P.O.- Lauriya and District- West Champaran at present Haji Tola, P.S.- And P.O. - Ram Nagar and District- West Champaran.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mohammed Abu Haidar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.A.G.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 22-04-2022 Heard Mr. Abu Haidar, learned Advocate for the

petitioners and Mr. Umesh Kumar Gupta for the O.P. No. 2. The



State is represented by Mr. Ram Priya Sharan Singh.

The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in connection with Complaint Case 576(C) of 2019 in which cognizance has been taken under Sections 323, 341, 504, 506, 494, 498A and 406 of the Indian Penal Code.

The petitioners are the relatives of the husband of opposite party no. 2.

The accusation against them, it has been urged, is general and omnibus and nothing specific has been attributed against them.

The learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2, however, has submitted that the petitioners have tried to create a defence on forged and fabricated documents.

Be that as it may, since the husband of O.P. No. 2 has been released on bail by the court below, there would be no justification for denying the anticipatory bail to these petitioners, who are the relatives of the husband and perhaps have been made accused in this case because of their relationship with the husband of O.P. No. 2.

For the reasons aforesaid, the provisional bail granted to the petitioners by order dated 12.01.2022 is, hereby, confirmed.



The petitioners shall remain on the same bail bonds.

The application stands allowed and disposed off
accordingly.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

krishna/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

