

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39670 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-718 Year-2020 Thana- BHABHUA District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

Dharmendra Kumar Singh, S/o Late Ram Kumar Singh, Resident of Village -
Barki Bassauli P.S. Buxar (Ind.) District - Buxar.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The state of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Y.C. Verma, Sr. Advocate Mr. Bachan Jee Ojha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Brajendra Nath Pandey, APP Mr. Madan Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay, APP Mr. Sanjeev Ranjan, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

8 01-12-2022 In this case, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory
bail in connection with Bhabua P.S. Case No.718 of 2020
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 304-B/34
of the Indian Penal Code.

As per the F.I.R. the daughter of the informant was
married to petitioner and out of their wedlock a child was born.
It is alleged that accused persons used to harass the daughter of
the informant for demand of dowry and ultimately, she
committed suicide at her place of posting i.e. Police Line,
Bhabhua.

In this case, learned counsel for the informant has
submitted that this bail application is not maintainable in view
of the fact that process under Section 82 of the Cr.P.C. has been



issued against the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties on the point of maintainability of this bail application.

For deciding this issue, a recent decision of this Court rendered in the case of ***Santosh Yadav @ Santosh Kumar Yadav vs. State of Bihar (Criminal Miscellaneous No.38750 of 2021)*** decided on 04.07.2022 is important. It will be useful to quote relevant portion of this decision, which read as under:-

*“After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State, the Court comes to a considered conclusion that anticipatory bail application is maintainable even after issuance of process under Section 82 of the Cr.P.C further the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the case of **Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia (Supra)** has very clearly laid that anticipatory bail application is maintainable even after filing of charge-sheet or till the person is not arrested, thereafter another Constitution Bench judgment of the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the case of **Sushila Aggarwal and Others vs State (NCT of Delhi) and Another (supra)** had also placed reliance on the **Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia (Supra)**. Further from the scheme of Cr.P.C. it prima-facie appears that Section 82 of the Cr.P.C. is resorted to only when an accused is trying to flee from justice and Section 83 Cr.P.C. is an additional provision to create more pressure on the accused to surrender since the*



provision provides for attachment of property but then the said attachment of property is not final and it is subject to Sections 84, 85 and 86 of the Cr.P.C., as aforesaid, further if on objection the attachment order is recalled then definitely it will be presumed that the petitioner no longer is an absconder because abscondence is a precondition for issuance of an order under Section 83 of the Cr.P.C. thus prima-facie it appears that Sections 82 and 83 are provisions which are provisional in nature and as such a valuable right like personal liberty as enshrined in Article 21 of the Constitution of India cannot be taken away based on a transient provision. The Court is also in agreement with the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner that even Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. nowhere bars anticipatory bail application on the ground of issuance of process under Section 82 Cr.P.C. thus the Court holds that anticipatory bail application is maintainable even after issuance of process under Section 82 of the Cr.P.C. though the accused on merits may not be entitled to seek relief based on his conduct leading to issuance of process under Section 82 but then definitely maintainability of an anticipatory bail application after issuance of process under Section 82 is definitely not barred.”

In view of aforesaid, this Court holds that this anticipatory bail application is maintainable.



Considered the submissions of the parties on merit of the case and also perused the material available on record.

The deceased was found dead at her place of posting i.e. Police Line, Bhabua and upon enquiry from other constables, it has come that she committed suicide. At the time of occurrence, the petitioner was not present there as he was serving in C.R.P.F., posted at Orissa. Further, there is no direct evidence to the effect that the deceased was being tortured by the petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid facts, this anticipatory bail application is allowed. Let the above-named petitioner, be released on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kaimur at Bhabhua in connection with Bhabua P.S. Case no.718 of 2020, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

pawan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

