

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29590 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-38 Year-2021 Thana- RAJAPAKAR District- Vaishali

=====

Dablu Kumar Son of Ramanand Rai @ Langar Rai @ Ramnandan Rai
Resident of Village-Gaushpur Bariyarpur, P.S.-Rajapakar, District-Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mritunjay Kumar Nirala, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

2 06-08-2022 Let the defects, as pointed out by the office, be removed within four weeks of starting of Court proceeding in physical mode in normal course.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State in virtual court proceeding.

Petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a) and 41(1) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2018.

Recovery is of 410 litres of country made liquor.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that it appears from the F.I.R. as well as seizure list that nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner rather recovery has been made from



an open field back side of bathan and the petitioner has no concern at all with the alleged recovery. The petitioner is in custody since 29.03.2022.

Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries two more cases other than the present one.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Rajapakar P.S. Case No. 38 of 2021, with the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall



verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

