

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30783 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-28 Year-2022 Thana- DARIYAPUR District- Saran

RAJA NUT @ RANJAY NUT S/o Sohan Nut R/o village- Saidpur-
Mohammadpur, P.S.- Dariyapur, District- Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jeetendra Narayan

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Uday Pratap Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 25-08-2022 The learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to
remove all the defects pointed out by the Stamp Reporter within
one month.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

Petitioner seeks regular bail in Dariyapur P.S. Case
no. 28/2022 registered for the offences punishable under
sections 341, 307, 323, 324, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal
Code.

As per allegation, petitioner who happens to be uncle
of the informant came at the house of the informant and he
firstly started abusing which was objected by the informant and
then the petitioner assaulted the informant by means of **Hasuli**
and on his cry, his mother and father rushed to the spot to save
the informant but they were also assaulted by same means by



the petitioner. Accordingly, petitioner assaulted the informant and his parents by dangerous weapon like **Hasuli**.

The main submissions advanced by Sri Jitendra Narayan, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner are that the petitioner and the informant are relative having relationship uncle and nephew and the petitioner has clean antecedent, at the time of alleged occurrence there was family dispute between them and the doctor concerned has not given a complete final opinion with regard to the nature of injury of the so-called victims.

Sri Uday Pratap Singh, learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer for bail.

Heard both sides and perused the FIR. The manner of occurrence mentioned in the FIR goes to show that the alleged occurrence was committed in a planned manner and the petitioner not only assaulted the informant but also assaulted his parents by means of **Hasuli** and as per allegation, he assaulted the injured repeatedly and cut injuries were found on the person of the injured persons and some of the injuries were found as heavy bleeding at the time of examination.

In view of these facts and considering nature of the allegation appearing against the petitioner, in the opinion of this



court, petitioner does not deserve privilege of bail and accordingly his prayer for bail stands rejected.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

s.hassan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

