

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8023 of 2022

=====

Brij Bhan Son of Deo Shankar Sharma Resident of Village- Nagram Khirauti,
Dariyapur, Police Station- Ekangarsarai, District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Department of Financial Services, 3rd Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Sansad Marg, New Delhi.
2. The Chairman, Insurance Regulatory Development Authority of India, Survey No. 115/1, Financial District, Nanakramguda, Hyderabad.
3. The General Manager, Grievance Redressal Cell, Insurance Regulatory Development Authority of India, Survey No. 115/1, Financial District, Nanakramguda, Hyderabad.
4. The CGM cum Central Public Information Officer, Insurance Regulatory Development Authority of India, Survey No. 115/1, Financial District, Nanakramguda, Hyderabad.
5. The Chairman cum Managing Director, The Oriental Insurance Company Ltd., Oriental House, A-25/27, Asaf Ali Road, New Delhi- 110002.
6. The Branch Manager, The Oriental Insurance Company Ltd., City Branch Office-4, 170A, Patliputra Colony, Patna.
7. Ajay Kumar Son of (Name of the father not known to the petitioner) Resident of Gulmarg, Kaviramanpath, East Boring Canal Road, Police Station- Buddha Colony, District- Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Digvijay Narayan Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Additional Solicitor General

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA)

2 01-07-2022 The matter has been heard via video conferencing.

Grievance of the petitioner is that his complaint to the Insurance Regulatory Development Authority (IRDA) has not been looked into. He has been visiting the office of the said



authority but his file is gathering dust there.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be satisfied if a direction is issued to the said authority to decide his complaint within the fixed time frame.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the concerned authority to take a decision on the complaint of the petitioner within the fixed time frame, in any case not later than two months.

(Rajan Gupta, J)

(Shailendra Singh, J)

Anjani/-

U			
---	--	--	--

