

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29953 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-535 Year-2021 Thana- HILSA District- Nalanda

-
-
1. RANJEET KUMAR SON OF BIJENDRA PRASAD R/O VILLAGE-BELWABAG, P.S.- HILSA, DISTRICT- NALANDA
 2. DEV KUMAR SON OF BIJENDRA PRASAD R/O VILLAGE-BELWABAG, P.S.- HILSA, DISTRICT- NALANDA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rudal Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Sucheta Yadav, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

3 18-11-2022 By order dated 16.06.2022, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner no. 1, namely, Ranjeet Kumar has already been dismissed as withdrawn.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner no. 2 and learned A.P.P. for the State through the virtual Court proceedings.

Let the defect(s), if any, be removed within a period of four weeks.

The petitioner no. 2 apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 448, 341, 323, 307, 379, 427, 354(B) of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner no. 2 submits that



he is a person with clean antecedent.

The informant alleges that the accused persons including the petitioner no. 2 came and started firing. Further, Bijindra Prasad damaged the motorcycle of the informant, Shidheshwar Prasad looted articles worth Rs.1,20,000/- and other accused looted cash of Rs.12,500/- thereafter petitioner no. 2 and Santu Kumar dashed the daughter-in-law of the informant on the ground and made her semi-naked and snatched her jewellery. Ranjeet Kumar fired but it missed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner no. 2 submits that petitioner no. 2 has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that on account of dispute relating to land the alleged occurrence is said to have taken place. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioner no. 2 of dashing the daughter-in-law of the informant on the ground and making her semi-naked is ornamental.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner no. 2.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner no. 2, the petitioner no. 2 above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be



released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Hilsa P.S. Case No. 535 of 2021 bearing G.R. No. 3360 of 2021, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

