

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7958 of 2022

=====

Virendra Narayan Yadav Son of Lakshmi Narayan Resident of Mohalla-
Mahmood Chauk, Dahiyawa, Police Station- Chapra Town in the district of
Saran at Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary Ministry of Education
Department, Government of India.
2. The Secretary Ministry of Education Department, Government of India.
3. National Council for Teacher Education (N.C.T.E.) G-7, Sector- 10, Dwarka,
Near Metro Station, New Delhi, through its Chairman.
4. The Chairman National Council for Teacher Education (N.C.T.C.), G-7,
Sector- 10, Dwarka, Near Metro Station, New Delhi.
5. The Regional Director Eastern Regional Committee, National Council for
Teacher Education (N.C.T.C.), G-7, Sector- 10, Dwarka, Near Metro Station,
New Delhi.
6. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary Education
Department, Government of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, Patna.
7. The Additional Chief Secretary Education Department, Government of
Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
8. The Director Directorate of Research and Training, Education Department,
Government of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, Patna.
9. The Jai Prakash University Chapra through its Registrar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mrityunjay Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Smt. Shilpa Singh (GA 12)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

**(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted by Hon'ble the
Chief Justice/ Hon'ble Judges through Video Conferencing from their
residential offices/residences. Also, the Advocates and the Staffs joined
the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their
residences/offices.)**



2 24-06-2022

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

(A) For a direction upon the National Council for Teacher Education (N.C.T.E) to restore/grant recognition to the Government Teachers training College, Saran, Chapra situated in Zila School Campus, Chapra for imparting two years B.Ed. Course for the benefit of the local students as the fee for such course is quite low, in, comparison to the same B.Ed course conducted by the private institutions.

The local students of the Chapra district are suffering and are being exploited by the private institutions imparting teacher training courses for the NCTE has withdrawn/not granting recognition for imparting two years B.Ed. course to the aforesaid Government Teachers Training College, Saran, Chapra in an arbitrary manner despite the said government institution is fulfilling all the criteria for such recognition.

(B) For quashing the order dated 03.03.2019 passed by the Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, NCTE whereby the recognition granted to the aforesaid government institution for imparting B.Ed. course has been withdrawn from the academic session 2019-20, without appreciating this fact that the said government institution fulfills all the criteria for such recognition.

The petition reiterates here that the order of withdrawal of



recognition has either forced the local students to leave the district of Chapra for getting such education or gave undue advantage to the private institutions to exploit the local students, desirous of having teacher training degree.

(C) For quashing the order dated 05.07.2019 passed by the Appellate Committee of the NCTE, preferred against the aforesaid order dated 03.03.2019, whereby and where under the learned Appellate Committee has confirmed the order dated 03.03.2019, notwithstanding the fact that all the evidences showing fulfillment of the criteria of imparting teacher training courses before it.

(D) For quashing the letter dated 04.08.2021 issued under the Signature of the Section Officer (Appeal) whereby it has been informed to the principal of the government institution in question that the second appeal preferred by the college has not been accepted by the learned appellate Authority for the reason that the relevant rule does not prescribe the provision of second appeal.

The Government institution in question, in desperation has preferred the second appeal, as the request for grant of recognition was not paid heed by the NCTE.”

After the matter was heard for some time, finding the



Bench not to be in favour of the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, states that, in view of the intervening developments, the petition may be permitted to be withdrawn.

Prayer allowed.

Petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

Interlocutory application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

K.C.Jha/-DKS

U			
---	--	--	--

